Influence of Parental Health Literacy and Dosing Responsibility on Pediatric Glaucoma Medication Adherence
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Objective: To assess glaucoma medication adherence in children, hypothesizing that poor parental health literacy and eye drop instillation by the child are associated with worse adherence.

Methods: This prospective, observational study enrolled pediatric patients with glaucoma who were prescribed eye drops. Parent(s) reported who was responsible for eye drop instillation (parent vs child), took the Rapid Estimate of Adult Literacy in Medicine, and were instructed on the use and purpose of the Medication Event Monitoring System. Calculations included average adherence (proportion of prescribed doses taken), dosing errors (number of overdosing or underdosing events in 24 hours), and proportion of doses taken on schedule (doses taken within 2 hours of prescribed dosing interval). Results are reported as mean (SD) or median.

Results: The study included 46 of the 50 enrolled children who used the Medication Event Monitoring System for 30 days or more. Adherence ranged from 43% to 107% (93% [12%]) and was not associated with age (slope, 0.09 [0.52]; P = .86) but decreased with the parent’s lower health literacy (slope, 0.62 [0.24]; P = .01). The mean number of dosing errors for medications prescribed daily vs twice daily was similar (3.3 vs 2.9; P = .66). The proportion of doses taken on schedule (within 2 hours of prescribed dosing interval) ranged from 3% to 97% (median, 34%; mean, 41% [24%]) and was better when the parent vs the child instilled eye drops (46% [26%] vs 23% [19%]; P < .001).

Conclusions: Time-dependent glaucoma medication adherence was better when the parent was responsible for eye drop instillation. Overall decreased adherence was associated with decreased parental health literacy. Children of parents with poor health literacy are vulnerable to poor medication adherence; efforts to address poor health literacy may improve outcomes.
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worse adherence for some classes of glaucoma medications compared with others. Pharmacy claims reviews also indicate that patients who are unaware of the potential blinding consequences of glaucoma and patients with poor health literacy skills are less adherent to their medications.

Health literacy is related to, but not synonymous with, education and represents a person's ability to comprehend and act on written and verbal information in a health care setting. In adults, inadequate health literacy skills are linked to poor self-management of chronic diseases, such as diabetes mellitus and asthma. Previous studies have shown that children of parents with lower levels of educational attainment are less adherent with amblyopia therapy; these studies led us to question whether poor parental health literacy may be associated with poor glaucoma medication adherence for the child. We also considered that adherence in childhood glaucoma might be affected by factors not relevant to the treatment of adult glaucoma, such as whether the parent or the child instills the eye drops.

Medication adherence to intraocular pressure (IOP)-lowering medications in children with glaucoma has not been explored. We therefore designed this study to address the following: glaucoma medication adherence in children with glaucoma, the effect of child vs parental responsibility for eye drop instillation, and the effect of parental health literacy skills on the child's adherence.

This prospective, observational study was conducted with approval from the Duke institutional review board. Consecutive patients aged 5 through 17 years under the care of one pediatric ophthalmologist were approached regarding study participation during a regularly scheduled clinic visit. A sample size of 50 patients was chosen empirically. Patients were recruited if their medical record indicated a diagnosis of glaucoma for which topical ocular hypotensive medications were prescribed. Patients were excluded if they had a history of intraocular surgery in the past month or plans for intraocular surgery in the following month.

After obtaining informed consent, study participants and their parents were given a short survey (Figure 1) that questioned who was responsible for giving the prescribed eye drops. Parents of the study participants were also given an assessment of the parent's health literacy skills, the Rapid Assessment of Adult Literacy in Medicine (REALM). REALM is a word recognition test that involves words commonly used in the health care setting and can be administered in 2 to 3 minutes.

As part of standard care in this pediatric glaucoma practice, patients were instructed orally by the physician to use once-daily medications every 24 hours, twice-daily medications every 12 hours, and 3-times-daily medications every 8 hours. Children and their parents were given and instructed on the use and purpose of the Medication Event Monitoring System (MEMS 6 SmartCap; Aardex) by a member of the study team.
Table 1. Characteristics of Patients and Prescribed Glaucoma Medications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic Finding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex, No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race, No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (range)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of prescribed topical glaucoma medications,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (range)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescribed dosing intervals of medications, No. of medications (No. of patients)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Once daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twice daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 times daily</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The total number of medications in the study with the stated dosing frequency (the total number of patients in the study prescribed medications with the stated dosing frequency [patients counted more than once if prescribed more than 1 medication with disparate dosing frequency]).

Of the 50 patients whose parent(s) provided informed consent for study participation, 46 completed the study, which included using the electronic medication monitor for at least 30 days. No patients declined to participate, but 3 patients did not return the MEMS SmartCaps and 1 returned the cap but reported not having used it during the study period. Characteristics of the 46 patients who completed the study are provided in Table 1. For all patients but 1, only 1 parent attended the appointment, and for the 1 patient with 2 parents present, the mother volunteered to take the health literacy assessment (REALM). Although the study was designed to capture 30 days of medication use, 32 patients used the monitors for at least 90 days; therefore, a comparison of adherence measures for extended monitoring was included.

ADHERENCE

Adherence ranged from 43% to 107% (median, 95%; mean, 93% [12%]). Adherence was not associated with age (slope, 0.09 [0.52]; P = .86) or whether the parent (n = 25) vs the child (n = 21) instilled the eye drops (92% [13%] vs 96% [4%], respectively; P = .20). Adherence was lower for African American patients (n = 13) than for white patients (n = 30) (85% [16%] vs 94% [9%], respectively; P = .02), and adherence decreased significantly as the health literacy level of the parent decreased (slope, 0.62 [0.24]; P = .01). In multivariable analyses considering race and parental health literacy together, only decreased parental health literacy was associated with decreased adherence (P = .01).

PROPORTION OF DOSES TAKEN ON SCHEDULE

The proportion of doses taken on schedule, as defined by a window within 25% of the nominal dosing schedule, ranged from 17% to 99% (median, 78%; mean, 72% [22%]) and was higher when the parent vs the child was responsible for eye drop instillation (76% [24%] vs 64% [24%], respectively; P = .04, Figure 2A). For the more stringent definition of proportion of doses taken on sched-
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Adherence and proportion of doses taken on schedule were compared for the first 30 days, second 30 days, and third 30 days for the 32 patients who used the MEMS SmartCaps for an extended period. The results of the extended monitoring are presented in Table 2. Pairwise comparisons for adherence and proportion of doses taken on schedule for the first, second, and third 30-day periods, respectively, revealed a statistically significant difference in adherence for the first month of monitoring vs the third (95% [6%] vs 88% [15%]; P = .02). The remaining pairwise comparisons did not reveal significant differences (P = .20 to .90).

In this population of children with glaucoma requiring topical ocular hypotensive medications, patients took, on average, 93% of the prescribed doses. Despite the overall high levels of adherence, we identified factors associated with poorer adherence. As the health literacy level of the parent decreased, so did the child's adherence to prescribed IOP-lowering medications. For example, children whose parents read at a high school level or better took, on average, 94% of the prescribed doses compared with 81% of children whose parents read at an eighth-grade level or below. Consistent with this finding, it has been reported that adults with poor health literacy are less adherent with their own glaucoma medications and more likely to experience progressive visual field loss. We cannot infer, however, from this observational study that poor health literacy is the cause of poor medication adherence. The links between health literacy and health outcomes are likely complex and multidirectional.

Comparison of glaucoma medication adherence among different populations is complicated by various definitions and metrics for measuring adherence. The mean adherence of 93% in this study is higher than reported in some studies in adults with glaucoma using electronic medication monitors and similar to others. On average, patients in this study took their prescribed IOP-lowering medication within 2 hours of the nominal dosing interval only 41% of the time, although time-appropriate dosing improved to 72% when a more generous definition of “appropriate” was used (ie, within a window defined as 25% of the nominal dosing interval). For comparison, in a study of adults with glaucoma, patients took on average 97% of the prescribed doses within a 2-hour window of the prescribed dosing interval.
instructions may vary. For 1 patient in this study who was prescribed a twice-daily medication, the MEMS SmartCap recorded regular medication events at 7 AM and 11 PM every day, which resulted in low scores for the "proportion of doses taken on schedule" according to either definition but adherence of 100%. Data on the effect of consistent gaps of coverage of 4 hours on diurnal IOP lowering or on the long-term preservation of visual function are insufficient. With medication, such as prostaglandin analogs prescribed to be taken once daily, the effect may be negligible, but with medications prescribed to be taken multiple times daily, such as α-agonists, the effect may be greater. Likewise, we do not know the effects of taking a medication more often than suggested. Could there be increased adverse events associated with this or decreased efficacy such as seen when prostaglandins are taken too frequently? Indeed, we do not yet know which element of medication adherence, such as overall adherence or time-appropriate dosing, correlates best with clinical outcomes; it may vary among patients. In this study, time-appropriate dosing was better when the parent rather than the child was responsible for eye drop instillation. Further research on the clinical significance of time-appropriate dosing is needed.

Although this study was designed to measure 30 days of medication use, patients used the MEMS SmartCaps until their regularly scheduled return appointment, resulting in more than 30 days of data for most study participants. Given that others have reported worsening medication adherence with longer duration of monitoring, we compared adherence and proportion of doses taken on schedule from the first 30 days to the second and third 30-day periods of monitoring. The difference in adherence between the first and third months of monitoring for patients who completed at least 90 days was statistically significant. We must, however, qualify this because glaucoma is a lifelong disease and we do not know whether 90 days of follow-up in a limited number of children with a longer life expectancy can accurately reflect long-term patterns of adherence.

This study is limited by the sample size of 46 patients cared for in a tertiary care pediatric glaucoma clinic. In addition, all patients knew that they were being monitored. We would expect that knowledge of monitoring would increase overall levels of adherence but would not account for differences between groups. An additional limitation was that the electronic monitors could not capture all aspects of adherence. For example, a patient may open the MEMS SmartCap but never use the medication or attempt to use the medication and miss the eye. A patient could take the medication out of the MEMS SmartCap and never replace it but continue to use the medication. Electronic monitors, however, provide more comprehensive information about dosing than is available from self-report or pharmacy records. In addition, the relatively short duration of this study may not have captured a true decrease in adherence variables that might have been detectible during a longer monitoring period. Furthermore, the patients and parents in this study may not be representative of the complete population of pediatric glaucoma patients because of either specific geography or factors specific to the physician prescriber or the academic practice setting.

The results of this study are encouraging in that overall medication adherence is generally good, at least for this group of children with glaucoma. There are, however, opportunities for improvement. Even though the parents of patients in this study demonstrated health literacy skills that were, on average, higher than levels reported in the literature, significant associations between parental health literacy and the child’s medication adherence were found. The children of parents with worse health literacy skills were less likely to adhere to the prescribed medication regimen than the children of parents with better literacy skills. It is possible that initial identification of these susceptible individuals could facilitate different communication techniques (visual, oral, and written) that might improve adherence. Despite the known prevalence of low health literacy in the United States, few ophthalmic educational materials are appropriate for patients (or their parents) with poor literacy skills. Literacy level–appropriate education may help; in a study of individuals with poorly controlled diabetes, less literate individuals who received literacy level–appropriate education demonstrated improved glycemic control. As investigators and clinicians work to improve glaucoma medication adherence, we have the opportunity to incorporate clear communication strategies with the patients and the entire family affected by this disease.

Table 2. Results of Extended Monitoring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time in Study, d</th>
<th>No. of Patients</th>
<th>Adherence</th>
<th>Doses Taken on Schedule, 25% Window</th>
<th>Doses Taken on Schedule, 2-Hour Window</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-30</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>95 (6)</td>
<td>75 (20)</td>
<td>44 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-60</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>92 (9)</td>
<td>72 (23)</td>
<td>40 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61-90</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>88 (15)</td>
<td>66 (28)</td>
<td>36 (26)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Percentage of prescribed doses taken within a window of 25% of the nominal dosing interval according to the electronic medication monitor.

b Percentage of prescribed doses taken within a window of 2 hours of the nominal dosing interval according to the electronic medication monitor.
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