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Objective: To evaluate the clinical outcome of an intravitreal injection of triamcinolone acetonide as treatment of diffuse diabetic macular edema.

Participants: This prospective, interventional, clinical case series study included 20 patients (26 eyes) who received an intravitreal injection of 25 mg of triamcinolone acetonide for treatment of diffuse diabetic macular edema. Mean±SD follow-up time was 6.64±6.10 months. The study group was compared with a control group of 16 patients who underwent macular grid laser coagulation.

Main Outcome Measures: Visual acuity and intraocular pressure.

Results: In the study group, visual acuity improved significantly (P<.001), from 0.12±0.08 at baseline to a maximum of 0.19±0.14 during follow-up. Seventeen (81%) of 21 eyes with a follow-up period of more than 1 month had improved visual acuity. In the control group, visual acuity did not change significantly. In the study group, intraocular pressure increased significantly (P<.001), from 16.9±2.5 mm Hg to a mean maximal value of 21.3±4.7 mm Hg, and decreased significantly (P=.03) to 17.7±4.7 mm Hg at the study's end.

Conclusion: Intravitreal injection of 25 mg of triamcinolone acetonide may be beneficial for improving visual acuity in patients with clinically significant diffuse diabetic macular edema.
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Diabetic macular edema is one of the main reasons for reduced visual acuity in patients with diabetic retinopathy. According to the results of the study on the early treatment of diabetic retinopathy, diabetic macular edema has usually been treated by focal laser coagulation of leaking circumscribed retinal areas, unless the whole macular region is diffusely affected.1 In eyes with diffuse macular edema, laser treatment cannot be focused on localized retinal leakage spots since the entire macula is involved. A recommendation for grid laser treatment covering the whole macular region with a fine net of small laser coagulation spots has been controversial, since randomized prospective studies proving the efficacy of this treatment have not yet been published.

In view of the uncertainties in the treatment of diffuse diabetic macular edema, we undertook the present study to assess whether an intravitreal injection of crystalline cortisone might be effective in reducing macular edema and improving visual acuity.

The study included the 20 patients (26 eyes) who received an intravitreal injection of crystalline triamcinolone acetonide as treatment of clinically significant diffuse macular edema. All of the patients were fully informed about the experimental character of the therapy. All of the patients signed an informed consent. The ethics committee of the university had approved the study following the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Mean±SD age of the patients was 66.9±8.9 years (median, 67.6 years; range, 49.4-79.6 years), and mean±SD refractive error was +0.40±1.62 diopters (D) (median, 0.00 D; range, −1.75 to +6.0 D). Mean±SD visual acuity was reduced to 0.12±0.08 (median, 0.10; range, 0.03-0.32). Visual acuity was measured as best-corrected visual acuity. The patients had experienced a loss of vision for at least 1 year, and visual acuity had been constant for at least 3 months prior to inclusion in the study. Fluorescein angiography showed diffuse macular edema. We used digital fluorescein angiograms, on which the size of the area with fluorescein leakage was measured for the late phase of the angiography. Additionally, the preinjection angiograms and the postinjection angiograms were graded in a masked fashion to address the ques-
Results

In the triamcinolone group, mean ± SD visual acuity improved significantly (P<.001) from 0.12 ± 0.08 at baseline to a maximum of 0.19 ± 0.14 during the follow-up period (Figure 1). Seventeen (81%) of 21 eyes that had completed a minimal follow-up period of 1 month achieved better visual acuity. Improvement in visual acuity was statistically significant at the examinations performed 6 weeks (P=.003), 10 weeks (P=.01), 5 months (P=.03), and 6 months (P=.02) after the injection (Table). Three to approximately 6 months after the injection, the triamcinolone crystals were resolved, and completely disappeared out of the vitreous cavity.

One patient received a second intravitreal injection of 25 mg of triamcinolone acetone, repeatedly showing an improvement in visual acuity, with intraocular pressure remaining unchanged.

In the control group, visual acuity did not change significantly during the follow-up period. At the end of the follow-up period, mean ± SD visual acuity measured 0.15 ± 0.17, which was not significantly different (P=.35) from 0.16 ± 0.08, as determined at baseline.

In the study group, mean ± SD intraocular pressure increased significantly (P<.001), from 16.9 ± 2.5 mm Hg at baseline, to a maximal value of 21.3 ± 4.7 mm Hg (median, 19.0 mm Hg; range, 16-35 mm Hg) during the follow-up period, and decreased again significantly (P=.03) to 17.7 ± 3.6 mm Hg at 5 months after the injection (Table). The intraocular pressure measurements taken at the end of the follow-up period did not vary significantly (P=.31) from those measured at baseline. During the study period, intraocular pressure was higher than 21 mm Hg in 9 (34.6%) of the 26 study eyes. In all these eyes, intraocular pressure could be normalized by topical antiglaucomatous medication. Glaucomatous damage to the optic nerve, as determined by biomorphometry of the optic nerve head,2 was not detected in any eye.

In none of the patients did cortisone crystals settle on the macular region. They were located preretinally in the vitreous cortex at the 6-o’clock position, and they did not optically interfere with vision. In none of the eyes included in the study did postoperative infectious en-
diphthalmitis or proliferative vitreoretinopathy occur. In none of the eyes was a progression of diabetic retinopathy detected.

For the 18 phakic eyes, the degree of lens opacification (mean ± SD) increased slightly, from 0.11 ± 0.32 relative units to 0.33 ± 0.67 relative units in the subcapsular layer of the lens; however, this change was not significant (P = .16). Lens opacification was mostly unchanged in the nuclear lens region (1.39 ± 0.50 vs 1.44 ± 0.51; P = .56) and in the cortical layer of the lens (1.39 ± 0.70 relative units vs 1.44 ± 0.70 relative units; P = .32).

For decades, corticosteroids have been used in ophthalmology to suppress intraocular inflammation and to reduce extravasation from leaking blood vessels. In an attempt to avoid the systemic adverse effects of steroids, and to have simultaneously high concentrations of cortisone at the site of action, Machemer, Graham, Peyman, and other researchers studied the possibility of injecting cortisone directly into the vitreous cavity in experimental settings in animals, as well as in selected clinical situations in patients.3-8 They found that crystalline cortisone may not have a toxic effect on intraocular tissue. It is in agreement with clinical observations of eyes into which cortisone was accidentally injected, and in which no major toxic reactions were detected.9-12 Correspondingly, clinical or experimental studies have not yet shown any direct toxic reaction from cortisone that is intravitreally injected in clinical treatment trials.13-28 These studies revealed that a single intravitreal injection of triamcinolone may be an adjunctive treatment for exudative age-related macular degeneration,14,15,17 diabetic macular edema,20,28 proliferative diabetic retinopathy,16 uveitic cystoid macular edema,26 and severe uveitis,21 prephthisical ocular hypotony,22 and neovascular glaucoma.18 Since cortisone is washed out of the eye within approximately 24 hours after a single intravitreal injection,22 Machemer has suggested using a depot form of steroids, which, owing to an intravitreal absorption time of about 2 to 5 months, provides cortisone for a considerably longer period of time than the single injection of soluble cortisone.20

The results of the present study suggest that the intravitreal injection of triamcinolone may be beneficial as a treatment for diffuse diabetic macular edema. The patients of the study group showed a significant improvement in visual acuity compared with that seen at baseline (Figure 1 and Figure 2). In contrast, the visual acuity of patients receiving macular grid laser therapy did not improve. Parallel to the improvement in visual acuity, fluorescein leakage on the angiograms decreased significantly among the patients of the study group during the follow-up period (Figure 2). The results of the present study confirm previous reports showing that the intravitreal application of crystalline cortisone can improve visual acuity in patients with diffuse macular edema due to diabetic retinopathy.20,31 In a recent, prospective, noncomparative, interventional case series study on 16 eyes with clinically significant diabetic macular edema that had failed to respond to at least 2 previous sessions of laser photocoagulation, Martidis et al31 evaluated the safety and effectiveness of intravitreal injections of 4 mg of triamcinolone acetonide. They found a mean improvement in visual acuity of 2.4, 2.4, and 1.3 Snellen lines at the 1-month, 3-month, and 6-month follow-up intervals, respectively. Central macular thickness, as measured by optical coherence tomography, decreased by 55%, 57.5%, and 38%, respectively, during these same intervals, from an initial pretreatment mean of 540.3 µm. Intraocular pressure exceeded 21 mm Hg in 5, 3, and 1 eye(s), respectively, during these intervals. One eye exhibited cataract progression at 6 months. No other complications were noted during a mean follow-up of 6.2 months. As we did in the present study, Martidis et al31 concluded that the intravitreal injection of triamcinolone may be a promising therapeutic method for diabetic macular edema. The improvement in visual acuity in the patients of the present study was not constant for the entire follow-up period of the study. Approximately 5 months after the triamcinolone injection, visual acuity showed a tendency to decline (Figure 1). Compared with the baseline values, however, visual acuity measurements taken 6 months and 12 months after the triamcinolone injection were still slightly higher (P = .07; and P = .07), respectively. For patients who show an initial improvement in visual acuity after an intravitreal injection of triamcinolone, and who eventually experience a second decline in visual acuity some time after the injection, an intravitreal reinjection of triamcinolone may be considered.32

### Visual Acuity and Intraocular Pressure Prior to and After the First Intravitreal Injection of 25 mg of Triamcinolone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of Eyes</th>
<th>Visual Acuity, Mean ± SD</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>IOP, Mean ± SD, mm Hg</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>.12 ± .08</td>
<td></td>
<td>16.9 ± 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>.12 ± .10</td>
<td>.33</td>
<td>16.1 ± 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>.13 ± .10</td>
<td>.29</td>
<td>16.3 ± 3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>.13 ± .11</td>
<td>.003</td>
<td>19.0 ± 4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>.16 ± .15</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>17.7 ± 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>.17 ± .16</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>17.7 ± 5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>.15 ± .12</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>17.7 ± 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>.17 ± .13</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>18.7 ± 4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>.11 ± .08</td>
<td>.35</td>
<td>18.3 ± 7.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: IOP, intraocular pressure.

*Triamcinolone was administered as triamcinolone acetonide.
Direct toxic effects of triamcinolone on the retina and optic nerve were not observed in this study, as confirmed by other studies on eyes in which the same dosage of triamcinolone was injected for various reasons.16,18-21 This is confirmed by a recent safety and efficacy study of intravitreal triamcinolone for treatment of cystoid macular edema in patients with uveitis.33 In the present study, the elevation of intraocular pressure above 21 mm Hg that occurred in 9 eyes (34.6%) was not a major problem. In all these eyes, intraocular pressure could be controlled by topical antiglaucomatous treatment until the triamcinolone crystals had disappeared. Glaucomatous changes in the appearance of the optic nerve head were not detected. Similar observations were made by Wingate and Beaumont15 and Martidis et al31 using a dosage of 4 mg of triamcinolone acetonide, as well as in other previous studies using a dosage of 25 mg of triamcinolone.16,18-21,34

A major difference between previous studies on the intravitreal application of triamcinolone and this investigation is the dosage of triamcinolone intravitreally injected. In all previous descriptions of intravitreal triamcinolone for cystoid macular edema, diabetic macular edema, and macular degeneration,14,15,17,26,28,31 a dosage of 4 mg of triamcinolone was used. We used 25 mg of triamcinolone acetonide instead of 4 mg because from the beginning of our ongoing triamcinolone studies, now involving more than 300 patients with various diseases, we have used the same dosage of 25 mg, and we have not yet seen adverse effects that may be attributed to that high dosage.16,18-21,32,34-38 It also holds true for repeated intravitreal injections of 25 mg of triamcinolone acetonide.32

The most important limitation of the present study is that it is not a randomized prospective investigation in which the patients were randomly distributed between the study group and the control group. The control group and the study groups, however, were matched for general and ocular parameters, including preoperative visual acuity. Yet, in 17 of the 21 eyes with a follow-up period of more than 1 month, visual acuity improved after the injection of triamcinolone, whereas in the control group, visual acuity did not change significantly. Other limitations of the study are the relatively small number of patients included in the study group and the control group, and the relatively short follow-up period in the study group.

In conclusion, in the present study, with a prospective, noncomparative, interventional case series study design, an intravitreal injection of triamcinolone resulted in an improvement in visual acuity in patients with diffuse diabetic macular edema. In agreement with previous studies, major adverse effects, such as an untreatable high increase in intraocular pressure, were not observed. Future randomized studies with a larger number of patients, may show whether the results of the present study, as well as of previous investigations,33 can be confirmed, suggesting that the intravitreal application of crystalline steroids may be an additional tool in the armamentarium of treating clinically significant diffuse diabetic macular edema.
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