Psychological and Cognitive Determinants of Vision Function in Age-Related Macular Degeneration
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Objective: To investigate the effect of coping strategies, depression, physical health, and cognition on National Eye Institute Visual Function Questionnaire scores obtained at baseline in a sample of older patients with age-related macular degeneration (AMD) enrolled in the Improving Function in AMD Trial, a randomized controlled clinical trial that compares the efficacy of problem-solving therapy with that of supportive therapy to improve vision function in patients with AMD.

Methods: Baseline evaluation of 241 older outpatients with advanced AMD who were enrolled in a clinical trial testing the efficacy of a behavioral intervention to improve vision function. Vision function was characterized as an interval-scaled, latent variable of visual ability based on the near-vision subscale of the National Eye Institute Vision Function Questionnaire-25 plus Supplement.

Results: Visual ability was highly correlated with visual acuity. However, a multivariate model revealed that patient coping strategies and cognitive function contributed to their ability to perform near-vision activities independent of visual acuity.

Conclusions: Patients with AMD vary in their coping strategies and cognitive function and in their visual acuity, and that variability determines patients’ self-report of vision function. Understanding patient coping mechanisms and cognition may help increase the precision of vision rating scales and suggest new interventions to improve vision function and quality of life in patients with AMD.
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measured sources of variability or “noise” into disability measurements in patients with AMD.

In this study, we investigated the effect of coping strategies, depression, physical health, and cognition on NEI-VFQ scores obtained at baseline in a sample of older patients with AMD enrolled in the Improving Function in AMD Trial, a randomized controlled clinical trial that compares the efficacy of problem-solving therapy with that of supportive therapy to improve vision function in patients with AMD.

METHODS

This study reports baseline data obtained before randomization into the Improving Function in AMD Trial. We recruited 241 patients with AMD from the retina clinics associated with the Wills Eye Institute, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, between January 1, 2006, and December 31, 2009, and randomized patients to receive problem-solving therapy or supportive therapy in a 1:1 allocation ratio. The primary aims of the Improving Function in AMD Trial are to test the immediate (3-month) and longer-term (6-month) efficacy of problem-solving therapy to improve the primary outcome of vision function.

The inclusion criteria were: (1) age 65 years or older, (2) bilateral AMD (neovascular or dry), (3) visual acuity between 20/70 and 20/400 (inclusive; best corrected) in the better-seeing eye and no worse than 20/400 in the fellow eye, and (4) moderate difficulty in at least 1 valued vision function goal. The exclusion criteria were: (1) the presence of uncontrolled glaucoma, diabetic retinopathy, or planned cataract surgery within 6 months; (2) dementia, using a version of the Mini-Mental State Examination that omits vision-dependent items; (3) the presence of life-threatening illness; and (4) residence in a skilled nursing facility. All the participants signed an informed consent form approved by the Thomas Jefferson University institutional review board. At baseline, a research nurse conducted clinical assessments in patients' homes and gathered demographic information and assessed the following clinical variables: vision, physical health, depression, cognition, vision function, and coping strategies.

VISION

Best-corrected vision was assessed using the Lighthouse Ferris-Bailey Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study chart to measure distance visual acuity and the Pelli-Robson Contrast Sensitivity chart to measure contrast sensitivity. Near and distance visual acuities were assessed at 16 in and 5 ft (41 cm and 1.5 m), respectively. A gooseneck lamp was used to standardize luminance levels. For statistical analyses, log transformations (ie, logMAR and log contrast) were used for visual acuity and contrast sensitivity, respectively.

PHYSICAL HEALTH

We used the Chronic Disease Score, which provides an index of medical comorbidity based on a weighted sum of medications taken for chronic illness, and the Multilevel Assessment Inventory Health Conditions Check List, which lists specific acute and chronic conditions.

DEPRESSION

We used the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 to assess depression. This questionnaire includes the 9 criteria that comprise Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (Fourth Edition) diagnoses of major or minor depressive disorders. It is a dual-function instrument in that it generates categorical diagnoses of depression and grades depressive symptom severity as a continuous measure. Symptoms are scored on an ordinal scale from 0 (not at all) to 3 (every day). The raw score for each patient is the sum of symptom scores across the 9 items. The raw scores range from 0 to 27, with higher scores indicating worse depression. Symptoms are scored from 0 (not at all) to 3 (every day).

COGNITION

We administered the Animal Fluency Test to obtain a brief assessment of cognitive function that is relevant to the completion of daily activities. This verbal fluency test requires patients to name as many different animals as possible in 60 seconds and is scored as the number of animals named. The test requires semantic knowledge of categories, vocabulary storage, speeded mental processing, and intact executive function. A reduction in the number of retrieved items, repetition of the same word, and listing of disqualified words indicate difficulty with sustained output, concentration, and retrieval. The mean (SD) score for white women aged 70 to 89 years with 12 years of education is 17.2 (4.2).

VISION FUNCTION

We used the NEI-VFQ-25 plus Supplement, which consists of 25 items and a supplement of 14 additional items, derived from the original 52-item NEI-VFQ. It is used to assess self-reported vision function and generates 11 subscale scores and an overall score. In this investigation, we focused on items included in part 2 of the NEI-VFQ because they all require difficulty ratings of vision-dependent activities that many patients highly value. In particular, the near-vision subscale consists of 6 items rating difficulties with reading newsprint, doing housework or hobbies (eg, sewing and using tools), finding something on a crowded shelf, reading small print on a medication bottle or legal form, determining whether bills are accurate, and performing personal hygiene tasks (eg, shaving and putting on makeup). Patients rate these items on an ordinal scale from 1 to 5, with higher numbers indicating increasing levels of difficulty (ie, no difficulty, a little difficulty, moderate difficulty, extreme difficulty, or stopped doing this because of your eyesight), or they can respond that they stopped doing the activity described by the item for other reasons not interested (scored as missing data).

Previous studies have demonstrated that the items of the near-vision subscale are responsive to low-vision rehabilitation and anti-VEGF treatment and can be used to estimate an interval scale suitable for the analyses we conducted.

 COPING STRATEGIES

We used the Optimization in Primary and Secondary Control Scale (OPS) to assess the characteristic approaches, or control strategies, that patients enact to achieve valued goals. This instrument draws from the life-span theory of control, which posits that people use different health-related control strategies to greater and lesser extents when faced with adverse health conditions. We selected the OPS because of its applicability to patients with chronic disabling diseases, such as AMD, who must find ways to adjust to vision loss. The reliability and validity and psychometric properties of the OPS have been demonstrated in studies of older persons and patients with AMD. Brennan et al adapted items specifically for patients with vision loss. The OPS is divided into 4 control strategies, each composed of 8 items...
SELECTIVE PRIMARY CONTROL REFERS TO THE INVESTMENT OF BEHAVIORAL RESOURCES (IE, TIME, EFFORT, AND SKILLS) INTO PURSUING A GOAL. REPRESENTATIVE ITEMS ARE “I DO WHATEVER I CAN TO CONTINUE MY EVERYDAY ACTIVITIES DESPITE MY VISION PROBLEM” AND “IF I INVEST ENOUGH TIME, I CAN CONTINUE MY EVERYDAY ACTIVITIES DESPITE MY VISION PROBLEM.” SELECTIVE SECONDARY CONTROL SERVES TO ENHANCE AND MAINTAIN MOTIVATION AND COMMITMENT TO A GOAL, PARTICULARLY WHEN OBSTACLES (IE, VISION LOSS) MAKE ACHIEVING THE GOAL DIFFICULT. ITEMS INCLUDE “I THINK HOW IMPORTANT IT IS TO ME TO KEEP UP MY DAILY ACTIVITIES DESPITE MY VISION PROBLEM” AND “I TELL MYSELF THAT IT IS UP TO ME TO MAKE SURE MY VISION PROBLEM DOES NOT INTERFERE WITH WHAT I WANT TO DO.” COMPENSATORY PRIMARY CONTROL REFERS TO THE RECRUITMENT OF HELP FROM OTHERS OR THE USE OF ASSISTIVE DEVICES (Eg, MAGNIFIERS) WHEN AN INDIVIDUAL HAS DIFFICULTY ATTAINING A GOAL. ITEMS INCLUDE “IF THERE IS SOMETHING I CAN NO LONGER DO BECAUSE OF MY VISION PROBLEM, I ACTIVELY SEEK OUT HELP FROM OTHERS” AND “IF I’M HAVING TROUBLE DOING SOMETHING BECAUSE OF MY VISION PROBLEM, I LOOK FOR A DEVICE OR AID THAT WILL HELP GET IT DONE.” COMPENSATORY SECONDARY CONTROL REFERS TO GOAL DISENGAGEMENT WHEN THE GOAL BECOMES UNATTAINABLE, THEREBY FREEING UP THE PERSON TO PURSUE OTHER GOALS THAT ARE ATTAINABLE. IT ALSO INCLUDES SELF-PROTECTIVE STRATEGIES, SUCH AS FOCUSING ON SUCCESSES IN OTHER DOMAINS. TYPICAL ITEMS INCLUDE “I CAN ACCEPT THAT THERE ARE THINGS I CAN NO LONGER DO SINCE I STARTED HAVING PROBLEMS WITH MY VISION” AND “I SPEND MY TIME DOING WHAT I CAN DO RATHER THAN STRUGGLING WITH THE THINGS THAT HAVE BECOME DIFFICULT BECAUSE OF MY VISION PROBLEM.”

STATISTICAL METHODS

Descriptive statistics for baseline demographic and clinical variables are presented as mean (SD) for continuous data and as frequency (percentage) for categorical data. We used a latent variable model to investigate the relationship between the 6 items of the NEI-VFQ near-activities subscale and various clinical and psychological characteristics.27,28 Because we expect patient responses to depend on multiple variables, we used a structural equation model that assumes that each patient has an ability to perform near activities (ie, the composite latent variable) manifested by the 6 NEI-VFQ items. The ability to perform a specific near-vision NEI-VFQ activity item is obtained by multiplying the factor loading for that item by the underlying latent ability. A patient should perform at a given level for a specific item when the product of the item factor loading and the underlying ability crosses a given threshold for that item. We assumed that the ability to perform near activities is a linear function of 1 or more clinical or psychological characteristics (eg, age, visual acuity, cognition, and coping strategies). That is, we modeled the ability to perform near activities using a linear regression model that assumes that the component variables have independent effects on the estimated functional ability variable. We considered each of the potential predictors individually and selected all with P < .20 for inclusion in a multivariable model. We used the latter liberal statistical criterion to maximize our ability to detect any significant associations. Latent variable models were fit using Mplus version 6.20

RESULTS

Patient clinical and psychological characteristics are summarized in Table 1. The mean (SD) age of the patients was 82.8 (6.9) years, and 63.1% were women. One hun-
dred two patients (42.3%) had received anti-VEGF injections. Depressive symptoms, as reflected by mean Patient Health Questionnaire-9 scores, were low in the sample as a whole; however, 31 patients (12.9%) met the criteria for a depressive disorder. This rate is consistent with a recent study30 of depression prevalence rates in patients with AMD.

The value of the latent visual ability variable was estimated for each patient from the multivariable model. Estimated values ranged from −4.3 to 2.85 (mean [SD], 0 [1.2]). The Figure depicts the relationship of the latent visual ability with visual acuity and shows the strong relationship between the 2 variables but also the considerable variability that remains. Table 2 provides the results of the univariable models wherein we evaluated the relationship between the predictor variables and the latent visual ability variable. The values represent the increase in visual ability associated with a 1-U increase in that predictor. For example, a 1-U increase in contrast sensitivity was associated with a 0.32 increase in visual ability. Of the 12 possible predictors, visual acuity, compensatory primary control, cognitive verbal fluency score (Animal Fluency Test), age, selective primary control, selective secondary control, and contrast sensitivity were significantly associated with the latent visual ability variable (at the P < .05 level) and were included in the multivariable model. Table 3 lists the results of the multivariable model wherein we considered the unique effect of each significant variable of the univariable model after controlling for the effects of the other variables. This model reveals that visual acuity, compensatory primary

Table 1. Clinical and Vision Characteristics of the Sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value (Mean ± SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>82.8 (6.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex, %</td>
<td>63.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, y</td>
<td>13.2 (3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic Disease Score, y</td>
<td>5.6 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vision characteristics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best eye logMAR, mean (SD)</td>
<td>0.57 (0.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best eye log contrast, mean (SD)</td>
<td>0.69 (0.41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-VEGF treatment in past 3 mo, %</td>
<td>102 (42.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEI-VFQ near activities, mean (SD)</td>
<td>53.3 (20.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coping strategies, mean (SD)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selective primary control (range, 6-24)</td>
<td>22.3 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compensatory primary control (range, 9-36)</td>
<td>26.7 (6.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selective secondary control (range, 9-36)</td>
<td>30.1 (4.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compensatory secondary control (range, 7-28)</td>
<td>21.3 (4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHQ-9 scores, mean (SD)</td>
<td>1.3 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Fluency Test, mean (SD)</td>
<td>14.8 (4.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: NEI-VFQ, National Eye Institute Visual Function Questionnaire; PHQ-9, Patient Health Questionnaire-9; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor.  

a N=241.  
b A higher score indicates worse medical morbidity.  
c A higher score indicates worse vision.  
d A higher score indicates better contrast.  
e Scored from 0 to 100, with higher scores indicating better function.  
f A higher score indicates more frequent use of the coping strategy.  
g A higher score indicates worse depression.  
h A higher score indicates better cognitive function.
control, selective secondary control, and verbal fluency were independently associated with self-reported difficulty with near activities.

COMMENT

We found that patients with AMD vary in their coping strategies and cognitive function and in their visual acuity and that variability in these factors determines patients’ self-report of vision function independent of the effect of visual acuity. The patients we studied were drawn from outpatients of an academic retina vitreous practice, had specific vision characteristics, and had enrolled in a clinical trial to improve vision function. These unique characteristics limit the generalizability of these findings. Nevertheless, the sample represents a large group of patients commonly seen in ophthalmologic practices whose severity of vision loss and disability present a challenge to patients and their ophthalmologists.

The strengths of this study include the large sample size; systematic ascertainment and assessment of patients whose visual, affective, medical, and functional characteristics were evaluated using instruments of known reliability and validity; and the use of latent variable modeling to estimate an interval scale of visual ability based on the NEI-VFQ near-vision subscale. Although previous studies have demonstrated the validity of the NEI-VFQ in a conventional sense, they used ordinal rather than interval-scaled item responses (ie, categorical responses, where the difference between responses may not...
be the same, vs numerical values, where the difference between values is the same). Because ordinal responses have uncertain quantitative relationships with each other, there is an increased risk of measurement error. Our use of an interval-scaled, latent visual variable yields a more precise measure that has enabled us to identify new clinical variables that illuminate patient perceptions of disability. This study’s limitations, however, include lack of measures of central scotomas, glare sensitivity, binocular vision, reading, and other performance-based tests that might better discriminate patients in terms of the direct effects of AMD on ability.

All vision-dependent tasks require a specific level of vision to perform them successfully and independently. A patient’s rating of “difficulty” reflects the difference between the level of required vision and the patient’s visual ability, which depends, these data show, on his or her visual acuity and coping strategies and cognitive function. We found that higher use of the coping strategy of compensatory primary control, such as relying on others for help and using optical devices, was associated with greater difficulty with near-vision activities. This intuitively correct association indicates that this coping strategy, which aims to increase a patient’s control over his or her life circumstances, represents a healthy psychological adaptation to vision loss and contributes to what drives his or her perceptions of disability. This finding provides support for ophthalmologists’ recommendations to patients with AMD to pursue low-vision rehabilitative interventions.

A second control strategy that was associated with visual ability was selective secondary control. A higher use of this strategy, which represents the willingness to persevere in the face of potential failure, predicted lower ratings of vision disability. Patients with AMD who use this strategy tend to look forward to the positive consequences of achieving a goal even as they work hard to achieve it. Understandably, they would tend to perceive less difficulty than others who lack the same level of motivation but who are otherwise similar in their vision characteristics. The treatment implication of this finding is that interventions that strengthen the ability to tolerate frustration and keep on trying, similar to cognitive behavior therapies, might reduce disability levels in vulnerable people. Although depression is often related to vision function in patients with vision loss, in this sample, it was not. The unique characteristics of the sample (ie, patients who enrolled in a clinical trial who had, on average, low levels of depressive symptoms) constrained the scores and limited the ability to detect any significant associations.

Better scores on a cognitive task that assesses verbal fluency were associated with lower perceived vision function difficulties. Greater ability in this cognitive domain indicates better sustained output, concentration, and executive function. The latter refers to a group of complex cognitive abilities that include organizing, understanding, and appreciating information and planning, initiating, and monitoring behavior, which, in turn, enables rational problem solving. Thus, we might expect that patients with AMD who possess these cognitive skills would find ways to compensate for their vision disabilities and devise strategies to reduce task difficulty. This interpretation agrees with other studies that find that coexisting visual and cognitive impairments are highly disabling and that patients with AMD who relinquish valued activities are at risk for incident dementia. These studies emphasize the importance of assessing cognition in AMD studies, even in patients without dementia, and encouraging patients to remain active despite vision loss to promote optimal cognitive and physical health.

The introduction of anti-VEGF treatments for AMD has spared many patients from progressive vision loss and severe disability. Although these treatments have expanded rapidly in the community in recent years, we know little of their impact outside of clinical trials. The present data suggest that recognizing the role of patient coping strategies and cognition may inform outcome studies of anti-VEGF treatment and may have direct implications for the clinical care of patients. For researchers who use the NEI-VFQ in clinical trials, characterizing patient coping strategies and cognitive function may improve the precision of vision rating scales, reduce measurement error, and suggest new interventions to improve vision function and quality of life. For ophthalmologists in clinical practice, encountering patients whose vision function is worse than expected given their visual acuity should prompt brief assessments of how patients are coping or of their cognition. These assessments might then lead to referrals for neurologic or psychiatric evaluation to identify modifiable factors that may optimize functional vision. For highly motivated patients who use active control strategies, positive reinforcement and referral to low-vision rehabilitation may help them achieve their goals. For patients who more passively accept their disability, sympathetic understanding of their functional limitations and expressions of support may be valuable interpersonal interventions. From the clinical standpoint, these findings highlight the need for evidence-based models to improve care at the interface of ophthalmology and psychiatry and to develop a comprehensive national health care policy to assist older persons with their visual needs.
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