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Objective: To assess the reproducibility of retinal thickness measurement using commercially available mapping software of optical coherence tomography (OCT).

Methods: Six radial scans, 6 mm long and centered on the fixation point, were performed on 10 eyes of 10 healthy volunteers and 10 eyes of 10 diabetic patients with clinically significant macular edema. Retinal thickness was measured automatically using the mapping software of OCT in the 9 macular Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study areas and in a central area 500 µm in diameter. Measurement reproducibility was tested by means of 3 series of scans performed by 2 different observers on 2 different days. Results were assessed by their repeatability and intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs).

Results: In healthy subjects, intraobserver, interobserver, and intervisit reproducibility of retinal thickness measurements were excellent, with a repeatability coefficient of less than 7 µm and ICCs of greater than 0.89. In diabetic patients, the repeatability coefficient was less than 21 µm in all areas of the macula except one, with an ICC of greater than 0.98. Relative variations in measurements were small in both healthy and diabetic subjects, with reproducibilities of ±5% and ±6%, respectively.

Conclusion: Retinal mapping software of OCT allows reproducible measurement of retinal thickness in both healthy subjects and diabetic patients with macular edema.
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Many retinal diseases affect retinal thickness, the main disease being macular edema. Diabetic macular edema is defined by retinal thickening involving or threatening the center of the macula. The methods available for assessing macular thickness at present are slitlamp biomicroscopy and stereoscopic photography. However, both are qualitative, and both are insensitive to small changes in retinal thickness. Consequently, new methods of measuring retinal thickness have been developed. Optical coherence tomography (OCT) is a technique based on low-coherence interferometry that provides optical cross-sectional images of the eye. It allows measurement of retinal thickness from the tomograms by means of computer image-processing techniques. Since the commercialization of OCT equipment, several software programs have become available. The A5 software release for the Humphrey optical coherence tomography scanner (A5 software); (Humphrey Instruments, Inc, San Leandro, Calif) can display a 2-dimensional color-coded map of retinal thickness of the posterior pole and give the average retinal thickness of 9 different areas of it. Good reproducibility of retinal thickness measurements from a single scan or from a circular scan around the optic disc using OCT prototype systems or commercially available equipment has been reported. However, to our knowledge, no reproducibility study with mapping software has been published. The purpose of this study was to assess the reproducibility of retinal thickness measurements in healthy subjects and diabetic patients with visual impairment due to macular edema, using the A5 mapping software of OCT, to test their relevance to clinical practice.
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RESULTS

The means and SDs of the measurements performed at each session in each area of the macula (A1-A10) and at its center are given in Table 1 and Table 2. The means and SDs of the differences between the retinal thickness measurements made under different conditions (change of observer or visit) in healthy and diabetic subjects and the ICCs are shown in Tables 1 and 2 and Table 3.
SUBJECTS AND METHODS

SUBJECTS

The reproducibility of retinal thickness measurement was assessed in 10 eyes (4 right and 6 left) of 10 healthy volunteers (6 men and 4 women, aged 24 to 52 years [mean age, 35 years]). The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Hospital Saint-Louis, Paris, France, and all volunteers signed a consent form. Before OCT examination, visual acuity was measured, with the pupils dilated by using 1 drop each of 2.5% phenylephrine hydrochloride and 0.5% tropicamide. Biomicroscopic funduscopy was performed to ensure that the fundus was healthy. In all cases, visual acuity was 20/25 or better, and refraction was within 2 diopters of emmetropia.

Retinal thickness reproducibility was also assessed in 10 eyes (3 left and 5 right) of 10 diabetic patients with retinal thickening involving the center of the macula, ie, clinically significant macular edema as defined by the Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS),10 and impaired visual acuity. Visual acuity was less than 20/40 in all cases (range, 20/125-20/40; median, 20/100). This group included 6 men and 4 women with a mean age of 61 years (range, 34-82 years). Five patients had type 2 diabetes and 5, type 1 diabetes; mean duration, 15 years. Five patients had nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy, and 5, proliferative diabetic retinopathy treated with panretinal photocoagulation. Four had undergone focal laser photocoagulation for macular edema. Hard exudates were present in 3 patients.

OPTICAL COHERENCE TOMOGRAPHY

Optical coherence tomography was performed using commercially available equipment (Humphrey Instruments, Inc) derived from the prototype described by Hee and coworkers.5 The principle of OCT is based on low-coherence interferometry. The light source is a superluminescent diode operating at 840 nm, which provides a probe beam of low-coherence light on the retina. Cross-sectional tomographic images (B-scans) are constructed by integrating 100 axial measurements (A-scans) obtained in 1 second while scanning the probe beam across the retina. Lateral resolution is limited by the separation between 2 adjacent scans on the retina; this separation was 60 µm for the 6-mm scans used in this study. The longitudinal definition is about 13 µm. The images are displayed in false color. Bright colors (red to white) correspond to high reflectivity; dim colors (blue to black), minimal reflectivity.

Retinal thickness was measured automatically using the A-5 software. For each A-scan, the anterior and posterior boundaries of the retina are identified by a thresholding algorithm that searches for the point on each A-scan at which the reflectivities exceed a certain threshold (Figure 1). Linear interpolation is performed to remove any gaps in the boundaries resulting from shadowing due to blood vessels or exudates. Retinal thickness is calculated as the distance between the vitreoretinal interface and the anterior boundary of the red reflective layer corresponding to the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) and choriocapillaris.

To measure macular thickness, we used the retinal mapping program of the A-5 software.6 Six OCT scans 6 mm long were obtained in a radial spoke pattern centered on the patient’s fixation point, through a dilated pupil (Figure 2A). Scanning was performed using an internal fixation beam. Each of the 6 tomograms contained 100 equally spaced axial profiles of optical reflectivity. Thus, retinal thickness was measured at a total of 600 points along these 6 intersecting lines, with 6 of these measurements located in the central fovea. Retinal thickness was displayed first as a 2-dimensional color-coded map, with brighter colors indicating areas of increased retinal thickness (Figure 3 and Figure 4). Then, for quantitative evaluation, the macula was divided into 9 ETDRS areas, 8 including a central disc with a diameter of 1000 µm and an inner and outer ring, each divided into 4 quadrants, with diameters of 3000 and 6000 µm, respectively (Figure 2B). The average thickness of each of the 9 areas (A1-A9) was calculated. The number of measured points differs from one region to another. If there are 100 values measured per scan length of 6 mm, the number of points measured in each ETDRS region can be calculated and reported for comparison per surface area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Macular Area</th>
<th>Mean ±SD Coefficient of Variation, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-1</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-2</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-3</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-4</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-5</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-6</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-7</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-8</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-9</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-10</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center</td>
<td>3.3 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean absolute changes in 2 measures of retinal thickness, made at 2 different examinations, were significantly larger in diabetic than in healthy subjects. However, both measures were still very close. Indeed, reproducibility was also very good in this group, since the repeatability coefficient was less than 21 µm in all areas of the macula except A6, for which it was 27 µm. As the average retinal thicknesses measured in the dia-
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The thickness of the central area A1 with a diameter of 1 mm is the average of 100 measurements, ie, 127 measurements per square millimeter. The thickness of each middle area (A2–A5) is the average of 50 measurements; each outer area (A6–A9), 75 measurements. The mean thickness corresponds to 32 and 14 measurements, respectively, per square millimeter. The reproducibility of the measurements was tested in the 9 ETDRS regions of the macula.

However, an area with a diameter of 1000 μm is too large to account for the thickness of the foveolar region, which corresponds to an area about 350 μm in diameter. Therefore, we also measured the average thickness of a central macular region (A10) with a diameter of 500 μm, and tested the reproducibility of this measurement. In addition, the central foveolar thickness was calculated as the average of the 6 measurements performed at the intersection of the 6 radial scans.

**STUDY PROTOCOL**

Three series of 6 scans were performed on 1 eye of each healthy subject during the same session by 2 trained examiners. The first series, consisting of 6 consecutive radial scans, was performed by examiner 1 (B.H.). The patient then sat back from the machine for at least 5 minutes before being repositioned. The second series of scans was then performed by examiner 2 (A.E.). After another rest period, a third series was performed, again by examiner 1 (B.H.). To assess intervisit reproducibility, an additional series of 6 scans was performed 1 week later by examiner 1 (B.H.). For each scan, images were optimized to obtain the highest intensity and definition of the inner and outer bands by altering the intensity of the incident light.

In the healthy subjects, the following 3 reproducibility variables were assessed: intraobserver reproducibility, by comparing the results of the 2 examinations performed during the same day by the same examiner; interobserver reproducibility, by comparing the results of the 2 examinations performed during the same day by 2 different examiners; and intervisit reproducibility, by comparing the results of the 2 examinations performed at a 1-week interval by the same examiner.

In the diabetic patients, because macular edema may vary from one visit to another, and because our initial data showed that interobserver reproducibility was good, we tested only intraobserver reproducibility. To assess intraobserver reproducibility, 2 series of 6 scans were performed on each diabetic patient during the same session by the same examiner, and the results for each series were compared.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

For healthy subjects and diabetic patients, the mean and SD of the retinal thickness measurements made in each area of the macula and at its center were first calculated for each observer and visit. Reproducibility of retinal thickness measurements was assessed by the repeatability and intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs).

The reproducibility of the measurements made in the same subject was described by the mean and SD of the difference between measurements made under different conditions (change of observer or visit). This allowed calculation of the repeatability coefficient adopted by the British Standards Institution as 2 SDs of the difference between measurements in the same subject. In addition, intraobserver, interobserver, and intervisit reproducibility were estimated using the ICC determined on the basis of an analysis of variance for mixed models corresponding to each situation, and calculated as proposed by Bartko and Carpenter where within and between variance refer to within- and between-subject variance, respectively. The ICC is commonly used to measure reliability. A higher ICC indicates better reproducibility of the method.

In addition, for healthy subjects, the coefficient of variation of the measurements obtained for the same subject during each visit was calculated for each area and averaged among the 10 subjects studied.

All tests were performed using commercially available software (Biomedical Data Package; University of California–Los Angeles).

The aim of this study was to assess the reproducibility of retinal thickness measurement using commercially available mapping software of OCT. Optical coherence tomography has already provided useful information about intraretinal structures in different vitreomacular diseases. In addition, it allows retinal thickness to be calculated as the distance between the anterior and posterior highly reflective boundaries of the retina, which are located by means of a thresholding algorithm. The anterior boundary corresponds to the internal limiting membrane and is well defined because of the contrast between the nonreflective vitreous and the backscattering of the retina. The posterior boundary is located as the first signal posterior to the low-scattering photoreceptor layer and probably corresponds to the anterior edge of the RPE-choriocapillaris complex. Previous studies undertaken to correlate OCT images with histological features reported a close correlation between the OCT bands and specific retinal layers. Although Chauhan and Marshall showed that the inner band of the high OCT signal is not specific to the retinal nerve fiber layer, the 2 most consistent sources of high reflectivity are the surface of the retina and the RPE, and Chauhan and Marshall concluded that OCT allows accurate measurement of the total retina. Whatever these 2 boundaries precisely correspond to, their most important property for clinical relevance is that they must be recognizable with good reproducibility. Several studies have been per-
formed to evaluate the reproducibility of retinal thickness measurements, using a prototype or commercially available OCT equipment. In these studies, a single scan 3 mm long was repeatedly performed at the same location through the fovea. In the study by Baumann et al, good reproducibility was obtained for locations farther than 500 µm from the patient’s fixation (coefficient of variation, <10%). However, reproducibility was not as good for locations within 500 µm of fixation (coefficient of variation, >10%). In the study by Koozekanani et al, retinal thickness was calculated for a 1-mm section located 750 µm from the fovea in normal retina, outside the foveal depression, where changes in retinal thickness are small. In this location, the reproducibility of retinal thickness measurement was good, with a mean (±SD) intrasession coefficient of variation of 1.2%±0.7%.

Therefore, both studies demonstrated that the OCT algorithm is able to recognize the 2 high-signal boundaries of the retina with good reproducibility and is therefore a valid tool for retinal thickness measurements.

Because a single scan through the macula provides an incomplete clinical assessment of macular thickness, Hee et al developed a topographic mapping protocol that allows 2-dimensional mapping of the macula and is included in the OCT A-5 software. This protocol consists of 6 radial tomograms obtained in a spoke pattern centered on the fovea, and it has the advantage of concentrating measurements in the central fovea. Quantitative evaluation of retinal thickness in the macula is displayed using the ETDRS grid for macular edema assessment. The retinal thickness for each ETDRS area is calculated as the average of several measurements performed along the 6-mm scans. Because all OCT images in the radial pattern intersected in the center, Hee and coworkers considered the SD of the 6 central values as an estimate of the measurement reproducibility for a given patient and found that the average reproducibility of central foveal thickness measurements was 11 µm in healthy subjects and about 20 µm in diabetic patients. However, as this mapping protocol is designed for longitudinal monitoring of the macular edema, with examinations performed on different days by different examiners, the intrasession, intersession, and interobserver reproducibility of retinal thickness measurement in all ETDRS areas must be rigorously evaluated.

Retinal mapping is performed from 6 consecutive radial scans centered on the patient’s fixation point. Thus, the reproducibility of the measurements may alter if the central points of these scans do not coincide because of unstable patient fixation. Internal or external fixation beams may be used with OCT. We chose to use internal beam fixation, because it has been shown to provide better reproducibility.
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**Figure 1.** Measurement of retinal thickness from a single scan by means of the optical coherence tomography (OCT) algorithm. A, Cross-sectional OCT scan through a healthy macula. B, The anterior and posterior borders of the retina (white lines) are identified by the OCT algorithm. Retinal thickness is automatically measured as the distance between the 2 borders.
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**Figure 2.** Retinal mapping protocol. A, Radial spoke pattern of 6 scans 6 mm long, centered on the patient’s fixation point. B, Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study areas of the macula in a right eye. A1 indicates the central zone, 1000 µm in diameter; A2 to A5, superior, temporal, inferior, and nasal zones of a disc, 3000 µm in diameter; and A6 to A9, superior, temporal, inferior, and nasal zones of a disc, 6000 µm in diameter, centered on the center of the macula.
The impact of unstable fixation is likely to be greater in the foveal than in the peripheral areas of the posterior pole. Indeed, because of the shape of the foveal depression and the large variations in retinal thickness along the foveal slope, small changes in scan placement due to eye motion could cause variations in retinal thickness measurements. Thus, in 2 studies, these measurements varied greatly within 500 µm of the foveal center when calculated from a single scan.\textsuperscript{7,8} In our study using retinal mapping, intravisit and intervisit reproducibility were good in the healthy volunteers, and retinal thickness measurements with OCT were highly reproducible in the central macular area 1 mm in diameter, with a repeatability coefficient of less than 8 µm and an ICC greater than 0.97. The large number of points measured in the central area with the mapping protocol may compensate for the theoretically greater variability due to the changes in retinal thickness occurring in this area.

We also tested the reproducibility of the measurements performed in a central area of the macula 500 µm in diameter (A10), which is more representative of the foveolar area than an area 1000 µm in diameter, i.e., the reproducibility of the mean of 50 A-scan measurements instead of 100. One might expect the assessment of retinal thickness in a smaller zone to be less reproducible, because it integrates fewer values. However, our results showed that the reproducibility of the measurements in this central area remained good, and the ICC coefficient was high in all cases. Consequently, measurement of retinal thickness in a central area with a diameter of 500 µm seems relevant for clinical practice. The variability of the measurements performed in the central point of the macula, although acceptable, was greater than that of the measurements for the other areas, a result that was expected because the retinal thickness of the center was assessed as the mean of only 6 measurements.

In the middle and outer ETDRS regions, intravisit and intervisit reproducibility were good in the healthy volunteers, as shown by the repeatability coefficient and ICC, despite the smaller number of points measured.
Figure 4. Macular mapping in a diabetic patient with clinically significant macular edema (left eye). A, Radial spoke pattern of 6 scans 6 mm long, centered on the patient's fixation point. B, Cross-sectional optical coherence tomographic (OCT) scans obtained from the 6 radial scans, showing retinal thickening and loss of the foveal depression. C, An OCT topographic map shows increased macular thickness throughout the macula, especially in the central area.

Table 1. Retinal Thickness Measured in Healthy Subjects*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Macular Area</th>
<th>R1 (µm)</th>
<th>R2 (µm)</th>
<th>R3 (µm)</th>
<th>R4 (µm)</th>
<th>Δ (1-2)</th>
<th>Δ (1-3)</th>
<th>Δ (1-4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>178 ± 17</td>
<td>177 ± 17</td>
<td>179 ± 19</td>
<td>177 ± 16</td>
<td>0.8 ± 2.0</td>
<td>−1.1 ± 4.7</td>
<td>1.2 ± 3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>252 ± 13</td>
<td>253 ± 12</td>
<td>252 ± 12</td>
<td>252 ± 9</td>
<td>−0.8 ± 2.9</td>
<td>−0.3 ± 1.9</td>
<td>0.4 ± 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>241 ± 15</td>
<td>240 ± 14</td>
<td>240 ± 14</td>
<td>240 ± 13</td>
<td>0.9 ± 2.7</td>
<td>0.4 ± 2.7</td>
<td>0.6 ± 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>253 ± 9</td>
<td>253 ± 9</td>
<td>252 ± 9</td>
<td>253 ± 9</td>
<td>−0.7 ± 3.5</td>
<td>0.2 ± 3.2</td>
<td>−0.3 ± 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A5</td>
<td>253 ± 13</td>
<td>254 ± 12</td>
<td>252 ± 12</td>
<td>253 ± 12</td>
<td>−1.1 ± 1.6</td>
<td>0.5 ± 3.2</td>
<td>−0.6 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A6</td>
<td>217 ± 9</td>
<td>218 ± 11</td>
<td>218 ± 10</td>
<td>216 ± 11</td>
<td>−0.6 ± 2.6</td>
<td>−1.4 ± 3.2</td>
<td>0.8 ± 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A7</td>
<td>207 ± 11</td>
<td>207 ± 11</td>
<td>206 ± 11</td>
<td>207 ± 11</td>
<td>0.2 ± 2</td>
<td>0.7 ± 2.5</td>
<td>0.2 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A8</td>
<td>214 ± 11</td>
<td>213 ± 12</td>
<td>213 ± 10</td>
<td>214 ± 12</td>
<td>0.7 ± 1.4</td>
<td>1.0 ± 2.4</td>
<td>−0.6 ± 4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A9</td>
<td>232 ± 14</td>
<td>233 ± 12</td>
<td>232 ± 13</td>
<td>233 ± 14</td>
<td>−0.5 ± 3.2</td>
<td>0.6 ± 3.0</td>
<td>−1.3 ± 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A10</td>
<td>152 ± 15</td>
<td>153 ± 13</td>
<td>156 ± 18</td>
<td>152 ± 14</td>
<td>−1.0 ± 2.5</td>
<td>−4.6 ± 6.8</td>
<td>−0.4 ± 3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central foveolar</td>
<td>145 ± 13</td>
<td>146 ± 14</td>
<td>149 ± 17</td>
<td>147 ± 13</td>
<td>−0.5 ± 6.7</td>
<td>−4.0 ± 6.4</td>
<td>−1.3 ± 5.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Macular areas are described in the “Optical Coherence Tomography” subsection of the “Subjects and Methods” section. R1 indicates the first series of measurements by examiner 1 (B.H.) during the first visit; R2, the second series of measurements by examiner 1 (B.H.) during the first visit; R3, the measurements by examiner 2 (A.E.) during the first visit; R4, the measurements by examiner 1 (B.H.) during the second visit; Δ (1-2), differences between the retinal thickness measurements by examiner 1 during the first visit (intraobserver variability); Δ (1-3), differences between the retinal thickness measurements by examiners 1 and 2 during the first visit (interobserver variability); and Δ (1-4), differences between the retinal thickness measurements by examiner 1 (B.H.) on 2 different days (intervisit variability).
Nevertheless, one must expect lower sensitivity for the detection of small areas of retinal thinning in the outer regions because of the small number of points measured per square millimeters.

We also tested the reproducibility of retinal thickness measurements in 10 diabetic patients with macular edema and impaired visual acuity, whose unstable fixation might have impaired measurement reliability. However, as the repeatability coefficient of the measurements performed on the same day by the same examiner was less than 20 µm in the inner ETDRS areas (ie, reproducibility was ±6%), these measurements were also valid for the diabetic patients. Although measurement variability increased slightly in the outer regions, it remained small. In addition, the ICCs calculated for this group, which were always larger than 0.98, demonstrated the great reliability of the measurements. This good reproducibility of retinal thickness measurements in diabetic patients may be partly due to the thickening and flattening of the macula with loss of the foveal depression caused by macular edema, which compensates for the patient's possibly poor fixation. Greater variability may be present in diabetic patients after the resolution of macular edema if visual acuity remains poor.

Another factor that may adversely affect retinal thickness measurements is the inability of different observers to obtain the same image quality. Thus, the signal intensity of OCT images may vary with the control setting. Trained observers usually manipulate light power and sometimes polarization to obtain the best image, ie, maximal intensity of the inner band. Total retinal thickness is measured by OCT software as the distance between the inner borders of the inner and outer red bands of high reflectivity, suggesting that the reproducibility of retinal thickness measurements may be observer dependent. However, in our study, interobserver reproducibility was excellent, as repeatability coefficients ranged from 4 to 13 µm in the different areas of the macula, and ICCs, from 0.93 to 0.99. In addition, Chauhan and Marshall showed that changes in signal intensity do not interfere with total retinal thickness measurement, even when polarization is set to provide the minimum inner-band signal intensity. This suggests that reliable retinal thickness measurements can be obtained even by untrained observers.

Finally, under pathologic conditions such as diabetic maculopathy, some lesions may provoke artifacts in retinal thickness measurements. Retinal exudates appear as focal areas of high backscattering that shadow the backscattering from the choroid and pigment epithelium below. B, In OCT software, linear interpolation removes the gap in the posterior boundary resulting from shadowing due to an exudate. The 2 boundaries are correctly recognized by the OCT algorithm.
perform OCT examination. Of dense subcapsular opacity may impair the ability to retinal thickness measurement, although the presence of dense subcapsular opacity may impair the ability to perform OCT examination.

Retinal mapping software of OCT is a highly sensitive tool that allows reproducible measurements of retinal thickness in healthy subjects and diabetic patients with macular edema, with reproducibility of ±5% and ±6%, respectively. We showed that for clinical practice, a central area 500 µm in diameter gives a reliable assessment of central macular thickness. Therefore, OCT may be used in clinical practice for longitudinal objective monitoring of macular edema and for assessment of treatment efficacy.
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A look at the past . . .

Dr P. Watson-Williams said that recently much investigation had taken place to find a satisfactory substitute for cocaine. The toxicity of cocaine and its abuse by the narcomaniac had brought the matter much into the public eye. Mr R. Foster Moore pointed out that those local anaesthetics were used in different ways by the various specialties. Speaking as an ophthalmic surgeon for infiltration anaesthesia he was entirely satisfied with novocaine 2 per cent, but for intraocular operations cocaine so far led the field.