Management of Post-LASIK Corneal Ectasia With Intacs Inserts

One-Year Results
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Objective: To prospectively study the effects of the use of Intacs microthin prescription inserts (Addition Technology Inc, Fremont, Calif) for the postoperative management of corneal ectasia resulting from laser in situ keratomileusis (LASIK).

Methods: In this prospective nonrandomized clinical trial, 10 eyes of 7 patients with post-LASIK corneal ectasia (2 men and 5 women) aged 33 to 46 years (mean±SD, 40.67±5.99 years) were included. The follow-up ranged from 6 to 24 months (mean±SD, 15.0±6.5 months). Two Intacs segments of thickness depending on the residual refraction of the patients were inserted in each eye.

Main Outcome Measures: Uncorrected visual acuity, best spectacle-corrected visual acuity, refractive outcome, and topographic findings after Intacs implantation.

Results: Intacs were successfully implanted in all eyes. Spherical equivalent error was statistically significantly reduced after Intacs implantation (pre-Intacs, mean±SD: −4.81±3.24 Diopters (D) (range, −13.75 to −2.50 D) to −0.96±2.93 D (range, −8.75 to 2.50 D) (P <.001). Pre-Intacs uncorrected visual acuity was 20/100 or worse in all eyes (range, counting fingers to 20/100) while at the last follow-up examination, 9 (90%) of 10 eyes had uncorrected visual acuity of 20/40 or better (range, counting fingers to 20/20). Three eyes maintained the pre-Intacs best spectacle-corrected visual acuity while the rest of the eyes (7) experienced a gain of 1 to 2 lines. The mean difference between pre-Intacs and last follow-up best spectacle-corrected visual acuity was a gain of 1.00±0.82 lines.

Conclusions: Intracorneal ring segments implantation improved uncorrected visual acuity and best spectacle-corrected visual acuity in patients with post-LASIK ectasia. Even though the results are encouraging, concern still exists regarding the long-term effect of such an approach for the management of post-LASIK ectasia.
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A DECADE after the introduction of the laser in situ keratomileusis (LASIK) technique in refractive surgery, the initial enthusiasm was replaced by skepticism regarding the post-LASIK complications, which has led refractive surgeons to adopt a more conservative approach. Indications that limit potential candidates for LASIK, such as attempted correction, preoperative corneal pachymetry, topographic findings, pupil diameter, and tear film stability, have led to the institution of strict inclusion criteria.1 Even though these criteria limit the number of LASIK candidates, they contribute to the reduction of postoperative LASIK complications, resulting in increased patient satisfaction and physician confidence.

During the last 5 years, there has been increasing concern regarding the occurrence of postoperative keratectasia. After LASIK, the cornea is structurally weakened, not only by the laser central stromal ablation (depending on the attempted correction) but also by the creation of the flap itself. The postoperative alterations in these tectonically changed and weakened corneas could lead to the instability of the biomechanical forces of the cornea, resulting in post-LASIK ectasia. Since 1998, when Seiler2 published the first article regarding this complication, we have not yet elucidated the exact pathophysiologic mechanism behind this phenomenon, but several mechanisms and factors are proposed to contribute to this post-LASIK complication.3-5 Up to now, the therapeutic options for patients with post-LASIK ectasia were limited to spectacles and contact lenses,6 and in the advanced stages, penetrating keratoplasty (PKP).7 There is only one preliminary publication with limited data regarding the management of patients with cornea ectasia after LASIK with Intacs microthin prescription inserts (Addition Technology Inc, Fremont, Calif).8
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Intacs were initially used for the correction of low myopia. The main advantage of Intacs is that, unlike excimer laser refractive techniques, they preserve corneal tissue while maintaining clarity in the central optical zone. In this way, Intacs may represent an interesting surgical alternative for patients with corneal ectasia after LASIK.

Several studies have demonstrated the efficacy of Intacs in correcting low myopia, while in keratonic eyes, Intacs implantation has resulted in an increase in topographic regularity and in uncorrected visual acuity (UCVA). The objective of our study was to prospectively evaluate the safety, efficacy, and optical effects of Intacs implantation in post-LASIK ectatic eyes.

METHODS

The study included 7 patients (2 men and 5 women; 10 eyes), aged 33 to 46 years (mean±SD, 40.67±5.99 years) with post-LASIK corneal ectasia. Four patients developed bilateral ectasia, and the rest had unilateral ectasia. All patients gave their written informed consent in agreement with institutional guidelines according to the Declaration of Helsinki.

A complete ophthalmologic examination was performed preoperatively to exclude other ocular disease, and the preoperative and postoperative follow-up evaluations included UCVA, best spectacle-corrected visual acuity (BSCVA), manifest refraction, keratometric data, and corneal topography. Postoperative visits were scheduled for days 1, 3, 15, and 30, and every 3 months thereafter.

Ectasia was diagnosed by slitlamp appearance of corneal thinning, unstable topographical steepening (EyeSys Technologies, Houston, Tex, and TechnoMed C-Scan/Technomed GmbH; Technomed, Baesweil, Germany), progressive corneal thinning in ultrasonic pachymetry (Corneo-Gage, Sognage Inc, Cleveland, Ohio), decreased visual acuity, unstable refraction, and posterior corneal steepening (Orbscan Slit Scanning Topography/Pachymetry system; Ortek Inc, Salt Lake City, Utah).

Each patient had undergone LASIK at least 12 months before (mean±SD, 47.08±36.86 months [range, 12-108 months]). Mean±SD preoperative spherical equivalent (SE) refraction was −11.23±3.11 diopters (D) (range, −8.25 to −18.00 D); and mean±SD pachymetry was 511.40±11.93 µm (range, 500 to 530 µm). The pre-LASIK UCVA was uniformly poor at counting fingers (CF). Best spectacle-corrected refraction revealed a statistically significant reduction (P<.001), with a mean reduction value of 3.85±1.32 diopters (D) (range, −4.81 to −2.50 D) to −0.96±2.93 D (range, −8.75 to 2.50 D) (P<.001), with a mean reduction value of 3.85±1.32 diopters (D) (range, −4.81 to −2.50 D) to −0.96±2.93 D (range, −8.75 to 2.50 D).

RESULTS

Pre-Intacs UCVA was 20/100 or worse in all eyes (range, CF to 20/100). At the last follow-up examination, 9 (90%) of 10 eyes had UCVA of 20/40 or better (range, CF to 20/20). Of the 10 eyes, 1 eye maintained the preoperative UCVA, while the rest (9 eyes) experienced a gain of 6 to 9 lines. The mean difference between preoperative and postoperative UCVA was a gain of 7.4 lines (range, unchanged UVA to gain of 9 lines) (Figure 1A).

Pre-Intacs BSCVA was 20/25 or better only in 2 (20%) of 10 eyes (range, 20/63-20/25) while after Intacs implantation, this was observed in 6 eyes (60%) (range, 20/50-20/20). Of the 10 eyes, 3 eyes maintained the pre-Intacs BSCVA, while the rest (7 eyes) experienced a gain of 1 to 2 lines (Figure 1B). The mean difference between pre-Intacs and last follow-up BSCVA was a gain of 1.00±0.82 lines (range, unchanged to gain of 2 lines). In all eyes (except one case in which there was a gain of 1 line and another one with a loss of 2 lines in BSCVA), there was a return to the pre-LASIK BSCVA after the Intacs implantation.

Surgical Procedure

Procedures were performed by 2 surgeons (I.G.P. and C.S.S.), and topical anesthesia was given. Two Intacs segments were inserted in the usual fashion used for low myopia correction (nasotemporally). The thickness of the Intacs was chosen based on the attempted correction (0.40 mm in 4 eyes, 0.35 mm in 3, 0.30 mm in 2, and 0.45 mm in 1). Using a diamond knife set at 70% of the corneal thickness at the incision site, a 0.9-mm radial incision was created, and 2 intrastromal corneal pockets were created using a pocketing lever, stromal spreader, and clockwise and anticlockwise dissection glides. Two tunnels (right and left) were created using clockwise and anticlockwise dissectors under suction created by a vacuum centering guide. The 2 polymethylmethacrylate segments were implanted in the clockwise and counterclockwise tunnels, maintaining a space of 2.0 mm between their ends and 1.5 mm between the opposite edge of each segment and the edge of the incision. Because of the standard 7-mm optic zone diameter of the ring segments, Intacs segments inevitably ended up central and deep to the LASIK flap edge.

After the stromal pocket had been carefully washed with balanced salt solution, the incision was closed with a single interrupted 10-0 nylon suture. All procedures were uneventful, and no disruption of the LASIK flap occurred. Postoperatively, all eyes received antibiotic/steroid combination eye drops 4 times per day for 1 week. In addition, all patients were instructed to use preservative-free artificial tears frequently. The sutures were removed 2 weeks after surgery.

Visual Acuity

Pre-Intacs UCVA was 20/100 or worse in all eyes (range, CF to 20/100). At the last follow-up examination, 9 (90%) of 10 eyes had UCVA of 20/40 or better (range, CF to 20/20). Of the 10 eyes, 1 eye maintained the preoperative UCVA, while the rest (9 eyes) experienced a gain of 6 to 9 lines. The mean difference between preoperative and postoperative UCVA was a gain of 7.4 lines (range, unchanged UVA to gain of 9 lines) (Figure 1A).

Pre-Intacs BSCVA was 20/25 or better only in 2 (20%) of 10 eyes (range, 20/63-20/25) while after Intacs implantation, this was observed in 6 eyes (60%) (range, 20/50-20/20). Of the 10 eyes, 3 eyes maintained the pre-Intacs BSCVA, while the rest (7 eyes) experienced a gain of 1 to 2 lines (Figure 1B). The mean difference between pre-Intacs and last follow-up BSCVA was a gain of 1.00±0.82 lines (range, unchanged to gain of 2 lines). In all eyes (except one case in which there was a gain of 1 line and another one with a loss of 2 lines in BSCVA), there was a return to the pre-LASIK BSCVA after the Intacs implantation.

Refractive Outcome

Preoperative and last follow-up mean values for spherical equivalent refraction revealed a statistically significant reduction (P=.001) from −4.81±3.24 D (range, −13.75 to −2.50 D) to −0.96±2.93 D (range, −8.75 to 2.50 D) (P<.001), with a mean reduction value of 3.85±1.32
D (range, −6.75 to −2.50 D) at the last follow-up (Figure 2A). There was also a statistically significant difference between predicted corrections according to the nomogram of myopia (2.98±0.68 D) compared with the correction achieved (3.88±1.30 D) (P=.02) after Intacs implantation (Figure 3).

TOPOGRAPHIC FINDINGS
(KERATOMETRIC VALUES)

A significant reduction in keratometric values was found at the last follow-up examination. Mean preoperative keratometry from 40.21±3.54 D (range, 37.42-48.34 D) significantly changed to 37.14±3.93 D (range, 33.00-45.50 D) (P<.01) at the last follow-up, with a mean reduction of 3.07±0.77 D (range, −4.42 to −1.91 D) (Figure 2B).

ADVERSE EFFECTS AND THEIR MANAGEMENT

In one eye with an advanced stage of ectasia (spherical equivalent refraction, −13.75 D), between the third and the sixth month after Intacs implantation (0.45 mm), a decrease in the BSCVA (20/50-20/80) and an increase in topographic irregularity were observed (Figure 4A-D). The patient underwent LASIK with attempted correction of −12.50 D (preoperative pachymetry was 530 µm and residual corneal bed thickness after ablation was 180 µm). We decided to move the segments and to advance them to bring them in contact and minimize the irregular astigmatism (Figure 5). Three months later, the patient had a remarkable increase in BSCVA (20/32), while a significant increase in the topographic regularity was found, which remained stable at the last follow-up, 10 months later (Figure 4E).
POSTOPERATIVE COMPLICATIONS

At 9 months, most eyes showed mild channel deposits at the inner edge of the segments. In 2 eyes, superficial mild wound site neovascularization was found after 9 months, which remained stable during the follow-up period and without any changes in VA or topographic findings.

As refractive surgery gains worldwide acceptance for its safety, the number of refractive operations increases every year. The demands on patients and physicians are continuously increasing. In this concept, large retrospective and prospective studies are being held to establish...
We were surprised at the significant improvement of one patient who had an advanced stage of ectasia and underwent movement of the segments to bring them in contact with each other and to minimize the irregular astigmatism. These findings support the unpredictability of the effects of Intacs in the advanced stages of post-LASIK ectasia, and close follow-up of these patients is necessary to control and manage the possibly unpredictable results.

Our experience seems to show that even in cases where biomechanical factors in the cornea have been disturbed by prior surgery, the placement of Intacs may still provide favorable outcomes. The availability of a non-invasive, painless, rapid recovery procedure as an intermediate layover is very promising. Since long-term stability is a critical issue for any surgical intervention in ectatic corneas, it will be interesting to evaluate how Intacs affects corneal ectasia over a more extended follow-up period.

In conclusion, Intacs seem to offer a minimally invasive alternative treatment for post-LASIK ectatic eyes, especially in early stages of the disease when there are fewer topographic irregularities. Further follow-up and additional cases must be reviewed to draw final conclusions about the efficacy of this surgical technique in post-LASIK ectatic eyes.
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