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Objective: To evaluate the progression of change in the cone-driven multifocal electroretinogram (mfERG) responses in patients previously identified as having high-risk, soft drusen 63 µm or greater.

Methods: Seventeen eyes of 14 patients were reevaluated after 28 to 41 months. Fundus changes were graded depending on drusen size and extent. Each of the 103 mfERG responses was analyzed and compared with age-matched normal controls and with the baseline measurement.

Results: Stable visual acuity was found in 12 of the 17 eyes. Drusen size or extent was increased, decreased, and unchanged in 6, 3, and 8 eyes, respectively. The mfERG responses demonstrated a significant progression in the response density loss and in N1 and P1 implicit time delay compared with the baseline evaluation regardless of drusen change. The extent of response deterioration occurred over the entire retinal area tested. Eyes having decreased drusen at follow-up were typically associated with higher response delays at baseline and follow-up than eyes with stable or increased drusen.

Conclusions: Early age-related macular degeneration is associated with a progressive loss in the cone-driven mfERG response despite stable visual acuity. The response deterioration extended beyond the visible drusen area. Implicit times seem to be an important predictor of drusen regression.
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The presence of new soft drusen (>63 µm) at follow-up. Decreased drusen were defined (1) as the absence of drusen (>63 µm) in 2 or more subfields at follow-up where it was present at baseline or (2) by the maximum size of drusen decrease in at least 2 subfields at follow-up. Eyes were categorized as having unchanged fundus morphological features if they did not meet the criteria of increased or decreased drusen.

**METHODS**

**FUNDUS GRADING**

Fifty-degree color fundus photographs were obtained with a Topcon retinal camera (Topcon American Corporation, Paramus, NJ). Fundus features in these digital photographs were compared with prior photographs. Analyses are based only on the 30° retinal area (standardized by the optic disc–fovea distance) acquired in the baseline photos. A circular grid containing 9 subfields (single central subfield and inner and outer ring, each divided into 4 subfields)²⁶ was digitally superimposed on the fundus image and centered on the fovea using Imagenet (Topcon Medical Systems, Inc, Paramus). Each of the 9 subfields was first analyzed for the presence of drusen. Maximum drusen size in each subfield was then estimated using circles of defined size (63 µm, 125 µm, 250 µm). Two of us (C.G. and S.A.) performed the gradings independently. The interobserver agreement was 100%. The observers were masked for the mfERG response results at the time of fundus grading.

The definitions of increased, decreased, and unchanged drusen³ are summarized in Table 1. An increase was defined by (1) the presence of new soft drusen (>63 µm) in 2 or more subfields at follow-up where it was not present at baseline or (2) the maximum size of drusen increase in at least 2 subfields at follow-up. Decreased drusen were defined (1) as the absence of soft drusen (>63 µm) in 2 or more subfields at follow-up where it was present at baseline or (2) by the maximum size of drusen decrease in at least 2 subfields at follow-up.

**RESULTS**

**VISUAL ACUITY AND FUNDUS MORPHOLOGICAL CHANGES**

Visual acuity remained stable in 12 of the 17 tested eyes. Three of 17 eyes lost 1 line and 2 of 17 eyes lost 2 lines in the Early Treatment of Diabetic Retinopathy Study chart.
All eyes were classified as AMD category 3 according to the Age-Related Eye Disease Study System. Comparison of fundus morphological changes, as listed in Table 2, revealed an increase in drusen number or drusen area in 6 eyes. A decrease in drusen number and area and associated increase in RPE changes was found in 3 eyes. The fundus in the remaining 8 eyes were graded as unchanged.

### Table 2. Patient Data at Baseline and Follow-up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient/Sex/Age, y</th>
<th>Follow-up, mo</th>
<th>Eye</th>
<th>Baseline Visual Acuity</th>
<th>Follow-up Visual Acuity</th>
<th>Drusen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/F/71</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>20/20</td>
<td>20/20</td>
<td>Increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/F/76</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>20/16</td>
<td>20/20</td>
<td>Decrease, RPE changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/F/77</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>20/16</td>
<td>20/20</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/F/70</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>20/20</td>
<td>20/20</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/F/77</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>20/16</td>
<td>20/20</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/F/72</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>20/25</td>
<td>20/25</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/F/86</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>20/32</td>
<td>20/40</td>
<td>Decrease, RPE changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13/F/74</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>20/20</td>
<td>20/20</td>
<td>Increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14/M/87</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>20/40</td>
<td>20/32</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15/F/73</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>20/25</td>
<td>20/25</td>
<td>Increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16/F/61</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>20/25</td>
<td>20/32</td>
<td>Increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17/M/70</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>20/40</td>
<td>20/40</td>
<td>Decrease, RPE changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18/F/80</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>20/32</td>
<td>20/25</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19/F/78</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>20/20</td>
<td>20/16</td>
<td>Increase</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: OD, right eye; OS, left eye; RPE, retinal pigment epithelium.

The results at the baseline visit pointed out a general retinal dysfunction in patients with early AMD. To evaluate whether the deterioration in retinal function would be confined to the central area, the mfERG data within and outside 15° were compared separately. Longitudinal changes were found in the central 15° as well as in the peripheral stimulated area 15° to 25° retinal eccentricity (not shown). Changes between the baseline and follow-up mfERG were significant in both areas for the N1 and P1 implicit times and for P1-N1 response density.

**TOPOGRAPHICAL ANALYSIS**

The mfERG responses for progression and to take into account the normal variation, we compared all mfERG responses with the age-matched normal control group. All tested eyes showed abnormal mfERG responses. The analysis of 1751 mfERGs (103 areas tested in 17 eyes) revealed delayed N1, P1, and N2 implicit times in 12.7%, 11%, and 10.2% of all mfERGs, respectively. The comparison with the baseline mfERG demonstrated a significant progression for N1 implicit time (baseline, 8.1% abnormal; \( P<.001 \)) and P1 implicit time (baseline, 7.9% abnormal; \( P<.001 \)). Response densities were reduced in 10.8% of all mfERGs compared with 2.4% at baseline (\( P<.001 \)). Figure 2 illustrates the deterioration in implicit times and response densities with a clearly visible shift toward slower and lower responses at the follow-up visit.

**Figure 1.** Stimulus pattern consisting of 103 scaled hexagons (A) and typical patient multifocal electroretinogram responses (B [all responses] and C [single response]). All of the 103 multifocal electroretinograms were analyzed for N1, P1, and N2 implicit times and P1-N1 response density as illustrated.

**Figure 2.** Response density changes in implicit times and response densities with a clearly visible shift toward slower and lower responses at the follow-up visit.
Histological data indicate a higher vulnerability of the parafoveal cones outside of 2.8° in patients with early AMD. To determine whether the cone-mediated pathways are deteriorating more in the parafoveal than in the foveal area, we analyzed and compared the baseline and the follow-up mfERGs in 6 concentric rings (response average for areas 1°, 2°-5°, 6°-10°, 11°-15°, 16°-20°, and 21°-25° using the VERIS analysis program).

**Figure 3.** Follow-up-baseline differences in implicit times N1 (A), P1 (B), and N2 (C) and response density P1-N1 (D) plotted for 6 different retinal eccentricities (labeled as concentric rings: ring 1, 1°; ring 2, 2°-5°; ring 3, 6°-10°; ring 4, 11°-15°; ring 5, 16°-20°; and ring 6, 21°-25° radius retinal eccentricity). Boxes enclose 50% of the data with the median value displayed as a line; the top and bottom of the boxes mark 25%; and the error bars denote the minimum and maximum values of the data set. Values outside the range are displayed as an individual point. Significant changes in N1 and P1 implicit times occurred in the central ring (see text).

**Figure 2.** Comparison of the localized multifocal electroretinogram responses with the normal control group at baseline (green) and at the follow-up visit (red) plotted in histograms. Numbers of multifocal electroretinograms are plotted as a function of standard deviations (SDs) from normal control data. Bold lines mark the point of significantly abnormal data (>2 SD for implicit times, <2 SD for response density). Significant changes were found for N1 and P1 implicit times and P1-N1 response density. A, N1 implicit time. Baseline, 8.1% had abnormal response; follow-up visit, 12.7%. B, P1 implicit time. Baseline, 7.9% had abnormal response; follow-up visit, 11.0%. C, N2 implicit time. Baseline, 10.8% had abnormal response; follow-up visit, 10.2%. D, P1-N1 response density. Baseline, 2.4% had abnormal response; follow-up visit, 10.8%.

**Figure 3.** Demonstrate implicit time increase and response density decrease mostly in the central 1° to 5° retinal eccentricity (central and second concentric rings). Significant differences were found in the central area (1°) for N1 and P1 implicit times (the differences in N1 implicit time in rings 2-5, P1 implicit time in ring 5, N2 implicit times in rings 1 and 2, and response density in all concentric rings would be considered statistically significant had we not chosen a P value corrected for the number of statistical tests). Localized changes outside 1° were undetectable owing to the averaging concentric ring analysis.

**mERG RESPONSES AND MORPHOLOGICAL CHANGE**

Fundus morphological features in the 17 eyes exhibited different visible longitudinal change among patients with increased, decreased, or unchanged pathological characteristics. Recently, we and others have shown that there is no direct correlation between visible drusen (by ophthalmoscopy, red-free fundus photography, or fluorescein angiography) and retinal sensitivity in the cone- and rod-driven pathways. Despite those findings, we wanted to know whether the overall longitudinal morphological change is associated with a distinct alteration in the photopic mERG responses. The phenotype might look similar among those 17 eyes but the course of the disease could be different. We therefore analyzed the mERGs from the group with (1) drusen progression, (2) stable fundus morphological features, and (3) drusen regression and increased RPE changes separately. Results are presented in Table 3 for the inner
10° and outside of 10° retinal eccentricity. All 3 groups had in common a greater reduction in response density in the central than outside 10° retinal eccentricity. Differences among the 3 groups are obvious in implicit time. Eyes with drusen progression can be characterized by a slight increase of delayed retinal areas. The focal retinal areas with increased drusen were not correlated with localized mfERG alterations. In contrast, eyes with unchanged drusen morphological features showed a shift in N2 implicit time with fewer abnormal areas compared with baseline; this shift was more common in the central 10° than outside 10° retinal eccentricity. Eyes with drusen regression associated with increasing RPE changes typically showed delayed implicit times more than in the other 2 groups at baseline. After 28 to 33 months, those responses were even more delayed, together with a significant loss in response density.

Typical examples for the implicit time alterations in the 3 groups are illustrated in Figures 4, 5, and 6. Figure 4 depicts baseline and follow-up fundus photographs and mfERG responses from a patient with a pseudophakic eye (patient 19) with increased drusen in 2 subfields and increasing maximum drusen size in 3 subfields (group 1). At the follow-up after 40 months, visual acuity was stable but more areas were delayed in N1, P1, and N2 implicit times within and outside the drusen area. In contrast, the right eye of patient 13 (group 2) with unchanged fundus morphological features and visual acuity revealed fewer areas of delayed N2 implicit time (Figure 5). Patient 5, a typical example for group 3, showed 2 fewer subfields with soft drusen, and the maximum drusen size decreased in 2 additional subfields. The mfERG comparison revealed increasing numbers of retinal areas with delayed implicit times after 33 months (Figure 6). At the initial visit, the mfERG of this patient had already shown more areas with delayed responses compared with other patients with large drusen. This patient received cataract surgery prior to the follow-up visit. Therefore, mfERG responses appear larger than at baseline. The color plots can be compared with each other because both mfERG recordings were compared with normal controls with phakic and pseudophakic eyes separately.

### Table 3. Longitudinal mfERG Changes Depending on Fundus Alterations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fundus: Abnormal mfERGs*</th>
<th>Drusen Progression, %</th>
<th>Drusen Unchanged, %</th>
<th>Drusen Regression With RPE Changes, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central 10° retinal eccentricity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-N1 response density</td>
<td>1.8-11.4†</td>
<td>3.9-21.0†</td>
<td>0-12.3†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1 implicit time</td>
<td>4.4-8.8†</td>
<td>5.3-6.6</td>
<td>15.8-28.1†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1 implicit time</td>
<td>7.0-10.5</td>
<td>12.5-13.2</td>
<td>31.6-36.8†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2 implicit time</td>
<td>9.6-7.0</td>
<td>19.7-9.2†</td>
<td>47.4-52.6†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside 10° retinal eccentricity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-N1 response density</td>
<td>1.2-6.2†</td>
<td>4.2-15.0†</td>
<td>0-2.0†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1 implicit time</td>
<td>6.9-9.1†</td>
<td>8.5-11.6†</td>
<td>10.7-25.0†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1 implicit time</td>
<td>3.8-6.7†</td>
<td>6.0-5.5</td>
<td>13.5-27.4†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2 implicit time</td>
<td>5.2-6.0</td>
<td>8.6-4.2</td>
<td>14.7-27.4†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: mfERG, multifocal electroretinogram; RPE, retinal pigment epithelium.
*Percentage of the mfERGs that are delayed or reduced of ≥2 SD in comparison with the normal controls.
†Significant (adjusted P<.001).
Significant morphological progression in patients with early signs of age-related maculopathy has been demonstrated by longitudinal population-based studies. Herein, we show that the cone-mediated retinal responses elicited by the mfERG significantly deteriorate over time. The loss was greater in response density than in implicit time. One could argue that those changes are partly due to optical factors (lens opacities exceeding the normal aging). That would result in response density losses but not in implicit time delays. Tested patients with pseudophakic eyes showed fewer changes in response density than the patients with phakic eyes. How-
ever, the patient who received cataract surgery during the follow-up demonstrated an obvious response density loss. In the tested phakic eyes, the lens properties were graded as unchanged without measuring the actual lens density. An undetected increase in lens opacities would reduce response density. But it would also be associated with faster implicit times due to reduced contrast and reduced retinal illuminance relative to our standard condition.28,29

The cone-mediated response deterioration observed in this study could be caused by structural and metabolic alterations. Johnson et al8 described deflected and truncated or even undetectable outer and inner segments and absent synaptophysin immunolabeling, suggesting altered synaptic architecture in cones overlying drusen. Functionally, that would likely result in reduced quantum catch and changed response characteristics. The progression in mfERG response was not limited to the drusen area. As we demonstrated earlier, the functional changes are not correlated with the visible morphological changes in patients with drusen.30 Basal linear deposits, which are diffuse deposits internal to the RPE basal lamina and of the same membranous material as soft drusen, are specific for early AMD.31 Those deposits play an important role in forming diffusion barriers between the choriocapillaris and the RPE and are associated with delayed choroidal perfusion.32 That led to the retinoid-deficiency hypothesis in the pathogenesis of AMD.33 The end point of the disease is photoreceptor dysfunction and death. The data of Owseley et al13 and Scholl et al16 indicate that rods are more vulnerable and affected earlier than cones. Further progression in RPE dysfunction and a possible change in cone photopigment regeneration might lead to the dysfunction in the cone-mediated pathways. As shown by Remulla et al,33 prolonged choroidal perfusion in nonneovascular AMD was associated with implicit time delays in the foveal cone ERG. Feigl et al24 could not find a significant progression in the rod- and cone-mediated mfERG response among 13 patients with early AMD after 1 year. The heterogeneous phenotype and pathogenesis of AMD as well as the slow and varied progression might account for their results.

We characterized 3 types of drusen changes by a different implicit time alteration. Retinal pigment epithelium and photoreceptor alteration follow drusen regression1 and can therefore account for the further response density loss and implicit time delays among the 3 eyes with drusen regression. As shown in patients with diabetes mellitus, locally compromised metabolism leads to delayed neural conduction and prolonged mfERG implicit times.36 Whether the markedly delayed implicit times at baseline in our 3 patients are a prognostic marker needs to be tested in a larger patient group.

Stable drusen morphological features do not necessarily preclude further microstructural and functional progression as indicated by response density loss and further delayed N1 implicit times in our patient group. Interestingly, fewer areas showed a delayed N2 implicit time compared with baseline. If the other N1 and P1 implicit times would have shown a similar pattern, then it would likely be related to optical factors. The nature of this implicit time “readjustment” remains unclear and further longitudinal studies might provide an explanation.

This study may have implications for predicting the further outcome in patients with drusen. Patients with significantly delayed implicit times might be at a higher risk for developing nongeographic atrophy.
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