Is the Incidence of Infantile Esotropia Declining?
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Objective: To investigate the incidence of infantile esotropia from a defined population in the United States over a 30-year period. Recent reports from the United Kingdom have suggested that strabismus or strabismus surgery is occurring less frequently today than in previous years.

Methods: The medical records of all patients diagnosed with infantile esotropia within Olmsted County, Minnesota, from January 1, 1965, through December 31, 1994, were retrospectively reviewed.

Results: The birth prevalence of infantile esotropia during the 30-year period was 25 (95% confidence interval, 21-29) per 10,000, or 1 in 403 live births. Although there were slightly more cases of infantile esotropia in the earlier years (45 from 1965-1974, 51 from 1975-1984, and 34 from 1985-1994), the change in incidence over time was not statistically significant (P = .32). The mean number of surgeries performed on each patient in this cohort was similar during the 30-year study: 1.8 for those diagnosed from 1965 to 1974, 1.9 for 1975 to 1984, and 1.6 for 1985 to 1994.

Conclusions: The incidence of infantile esotropia in this population has not significantly changed from 1965 through 1994. Moreover, the rate of surgical intervention over these years is similar for this patient population.


Several reports from the United Kingdom have described a decrease in the incidence of strabismus or strabismus surgery in recent years.1-4 Explanations for this decline have included the implementation of childhood vision screening programs and the more frequent correction of the full hyperopic refractive error. These reports generally include all forms of esotropia or strabismus without emphasis on a particular subtype. Of these studies, only one is population based,1 while the other 3 are reviews of clinical, surgical, or hospital records without regard to the patient's residency status.2-4 The purpose of this study was to ascertain trends in the incidence of infantile esotropia, also known as congenital esotropia, as well as the incidence of surgery for infantile esotropia, over a 30-year period using a population-based medical record retrieval system.

METHODS

The medical records of all patients residing in Olmsted County, Minnesota, and diagnosed with infantile esotropia from January 1, 1965, through December 31, 1994, were reviewed retrospectively. Institutional review board approval was obtained for this study. Potential cases of infantile esotropia were identified by using the resources of the Rochester Epidemiology Project, a medical records linkage system designed to capture data on any patient-physician encounter in Olmsted County, Minnesota.5,6 The racial distribution of Olmsted County residents during the years of this study was more than 95% white and less than 3% each for Asian, African, and Native American. The population of this county, which ranged from 81,200 in 1965 to 114,205 in 1994, is relatively isolated from other urban areas, and virtually all medical care is provided to residents by Mayo Clinic or Olmsted Medical Group and their affiliated hospitals.

The medical records of all patients younger than 19 years who were diagnosed with any form of esotropia from the years 1965 through 1994 were reviewed by a pediatric ophthalmologist (B.G.M.). A diagnosis of infantile esotropia was confirmed by the same reviewer and defined as a nonaccommodative esotropia that developed by 6 months of age in a neurologically intact child. The parental recollection of the age at onset and the presence of dissociated vertical deviation or other markers of early-onset strabismus were relied on for late-presenting patients. Trained residency checkers verified each patient's residency status at the
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One hundred thirty new cases of infantile esotropia were diagnosed in Olmsted County, Minnesota, during the 30-year study period. The historical and initial clinical characteristics of the 130 children combined, as well as by decade, are shown in the Table. There were 64 female (49%) and 66 male (51%) incident cases. The birth prevalence of infantile esotropia during the 30-year period was 25 (95% confidence interval, 21-29) per 10,000, or 1 in 403 newborns. The incidence rate for the cohort was calculated by dividing the number of cases diagnosed at the 2 medical institutions by Olmsted County birth data for 1965 through 1994. The 95% confidence intervals for the prevalence were calculated using the Poisson approximation to the binomial. The relation of incidence rates to age, sex, and decade of diagnosis was assessed by generalized linear models and assuming a Poisson error structure. Continuous data are presented as a mean with a standard deviation, and categorical data are presented as counts and percentages. Comparisons between groups for continuous variables were completed using Wilcoxon rank sum tests and the Fisher exact test. Continuous variables are reported using mean (minimum-maximum) and P values were obtained using the Fisher exact test. Continuous variables are reported using mean (minimum-maximum) and P values for the effect of decade were obtained using the Kruskal-Wallis test.

### Table. Historical and Initial Clinical Characteristics of 130 Children With Infantile Esotropia, by Decade, From 1965 Through 1994

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of births in Olmsted County, MN</td>
<td>17,655</td>
<td>16,492</td>
<td>18,425</td>
<td>50,572</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of cases</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. (%) of boys/girls</td>
<td>25 (55)/20 (44)</td>
<td>28 (55)/23 (45)</td>
<td>13 (38)/21 (62)</td>
<td>68 (51)/64 (49)</td>
<td>.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premature birth, No./total No. (%)</td>
<td>3/40 (7.5)</td>
<td>5/45 (11.1)</td>
<td>8/33 (24.2)</td>
<td>16/118 (13.8)</td>
<td>.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight, g, mean (range)</td>
<td>3375 (1984 to 4540)</td>
<td>3337 (1540 to 4621)</td>
<td>3212 (1050 to 4630)</td>
<td>3316 (1050 to 4630)</td>
<td>.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at diagnosis, mo, median (range)</td>
<td>7.7 (3 to 59)</td>
<td>6.7 (3 to 36)</td>
<td>7.7 (2 to 39)</td>
<td>7.4 (2 to 59)</td>
<td>.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amblyopia, No./total No. (%)</td>
<td>24/43 (55.8)</td>
<td>15/51 (29.4)</td>
<td>10.33 (30.3)</td>
<td>49/127 (38.6)</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deviation, PD, median (range)</td>
<td>36 (20 to 60)</td>
<td>38 (10 to 90)</td>
<td>33 (14 to 60)</td>
<td>30 (10 to 90)</td>
<td>.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IO dysfunction, No./total No. (%)</td>
<td>5/45 (11.1)</td>
<td>5/49 (10.2)</td>
<td>2/24 (5.0)</td>
<td>12/128 (9.4)</td>
<td>.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVD, No./total No. (%)</td>
<td>0/45 (0)</td>
<td>0/51 (0)</td>
<td>0/24 (5.9)</td>
<td>2/130 (1.5)</td>
<td>.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycloplegic refraction (spherical equivalent), mean (range), D</td>
<td>+1.69 (−0.75 to 4.25)</td>
<td>+1.77 (−1.81 to 5.00)</td>
<td>+2.14 (−1.00 to 3.50)</td>
<td>+1.84 (−1.81 to 5.0)</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** D, diopter; DVD, dissociated vertical deviation; IO, inferior oblique; PD, prism diopter.

a Categorical variables are reported using number (percentage) and P values were obtained using the Fisher exact test. Continuous variables are reported using mean (minimum-maximum) and P values for the effect of decade were obtained using the Kruskal-Wallis test.

#### RESULTS

This study provides population-based data on the incidence of infantile esotropia over a 30-year period from an upper Midwest population of the United States. During the study period, there was no significant change in the numbers of children diagnosed with infantile esotropia, and there was no significant change in the number of surgeries performed on these children.

Infantile esotropia has, for decades, been considered the most common form of strabismus. Prior studies have reported that infantile esotropia occurs in 1% to 2% of all newborn children, and even recent publications refer to it as the most common form of pediatric strabismus. However, such statements are in contrast to the findings from this study in which only 1 in 403 newborns were diagnosed with infantile esotropia. Other recent reports from this population have reported similar results, with infantile esotropia making up only 8.1% of all forms of esotropia. The previously reported higher incidence of infantile esotropia has, for decades, been considered the most common form of strabismus. Prior studies have reported that infantile esotropia occurs in 1% to 2% of all newborn children, and even recent publications refer to it as the most common form of pediatric strabismus. However, such statements are in contrast to the findings from this study in which only 1 in 403 newborns were diagnosed with infantile esotropia. Other recent reports from this population have reported similar results, with infantile esotropia making up only 8.1% of all forms of esotropia.
tile esotropia may have included children with central nervous system disorders22-24 or acquired nonaccommodative esotropia, distinct forms of early-onset esotropia that have, in fact, been shown to occur more frequently than infantile esotropia.25 The elevated prevalence of infantile esotropia may also be due, at least in part, to its apparent ubiquity in the outpatient setting; the commonly associated disorders of amblyopia, inferior oblique dysfunction, dissociated vertical deviations, nystagmus, and consecutive deviations invariably lead to more frequent and complicated office consultations, increasing the relative frequency of examinations compared with other forms of childhood esotropia.

This study also showed no significant change in the incidence of infantile esotropia or in the frequency of surgery for infantile esotropia from 1965 through 1994. Previous studies from the United Kingdom have reported a decrease in the diagnosis of strabismus, a decrease in the diagnosis of esotropia, and a decline in the surgical treatment of strabismus.1-4 Ferguson and colleagues reported a 5.2% decrease in admissions for strabismus surgery in the Oxford region of England from 1975 through 1985, despite a 16.3% overall increase in ophthalmology admissions. Arora et al2 also reported a decrease in the number of strabismus surgeries by 41.2% in England and Wales from 1989 to 1999 and a decrease of 26.1% in Ontario, Canada, from 1994 to 2000. MacEwen and Chakrabarti reported that, between 1986 and 1996, the incidence of esotropia in Scotland and Tayside was stable but that overall numbers of strabismus surgeries fell by 58% to 69%, with esotropia surgery dropping by 63% to 69%. Carney et al4 reported a decrease in the incidence of esotropia in children by 55% and a decrease in the operation of strabismus surgery dropping by 63% to 69%. Ferguson et al1 and Carney et al.4 They both argued that screening programs improved the early diagnosis of strabismus and, because of this, children were more successfully managed with nonsurgical measures. MacEwen and Chakrabarti disagreed, stating that well-established screening programs were in place for their patients and did not appreciably decrease the age at strabismus diagnosis. They postulated that increased spectacle correction of the full hyperopic refractive error was a significant factor for the decrease in the frequency of strabismus surgery. While these factors could potentially influence the frequency of surgery for the acquired forms of esotropia, such as accommodative esotropia or the incidence of strabismus in older children, they are less likely to influence the incidence of infantile esotropia, which is unrelated to significant refractive error or screening programs.

In the United States, there are anecdotal reports among practicing ophthalmologists of a perceived decrease in the incidence of infantile esotropia over the past several decades. While this report found no change in the incidence of infantile esotropia from 1965 to 1994, there may be an explanation for this perception. Although the birth rate in the United States rose by only 3.7% between 1965 and 1994 (from 3 760 000 live births in the United States in 1965 to 3 900 000 live births in the United States in 1994), the number of practicing ophthalmologists in the United States rose by 108%, from 8 397 physicians to 17 464, during the same period (Figure). In 1965, there were approximately 448 children born for each practicing ophthalmologist. Assuming a relatively constant incidence of infantile esotropia (at 1 in 403 live births in this study), and assuming that these patients were distributed evenly among practicing ophthalmologists, in 1965 each ophthalmologist would have diagnosed 1.11 new cases of infantile esotropia during the year. However, in 1994, there were approximately 223 children born for each ophthalmologist in practice, allowing each to have diagnosed only 0.55 new case of infantile esotropia. Thus, individual physicians in 1994 were diagnosing half as many patients with infantile esotropia per year as their predecessors were in 1965, which would facilitate the perception that the disorder had become less common over time.

Regardless of changing demographics, there are several weaknesses to the findings in this study. Its retrospective nature is limited by imprecise inclusion criteria and unequal follow-up. However, the primary purpose of this study was to determine the incidence of infantile esotropia over time, and all children with any form of esotropia diagnosed during the study years were retrospectively reviewed by one of us (B.G.M.) for uniformity of diagnosis. Second, some residents of this population have sought care outside Olmsted County, artificially decreasing the incidence of infantile esotropia, although the relative isolation of this population from other urban areas makes this occurrence rare. Third, this retrospective cohort may have unknowingly included some children with acquired forms of esotropia, thereby artificially increasing the incidence of infantile esotropia. We sought to overcome this weakness by concerning age at onset or ocular findings of infantile esotropia.
in the late-presenting infants. Finally, the demographics of Olmsted County limit our ability to extrapolate the findings from this study beyond other semiurban white populations of the United States.

In this population-based cohort of 130 patients from Olmsted County, Minnesota, neither the incidence of infantile esotropia nor the number of surgical procedures significantly changed from 1965 through 1994. A disproportionate increase in the number of practicing ophthalmologists compared with the number of live births in the United States during the same period may have contributed to a perceived decline in the incidence of this disorder. Further population-based studies are warranted to determine if there is a change in the incidence of other forms of strabismus.
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