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**Objective:** To describe the outcome of patients with occult choroidal neovascularization in age-related macular degeneration treated with transpupillary thermotherapy.

**Design:** Prospective, nonrandomized, nonmasked case series.

**Methods:** All patients with age-related macular degeneration with a predominantly occult choroidal neovascular membrane and an initial visual acuity of 20/400 or better were offered treatment using transpupillary thermotherapy. The treatment consisted of using a diode laser, a spot size of about 3000 to 6000 µm delivered over 60 seconds, and a power of 600 to 1000 mW.

**Main Outcome Measures:** A stable, improved, or worsened visual acuity and the need for additional treatment.

**Results:** Sixty-nine patients were treated. All patients have been followed up for at least 6 months. At the 6-, 9-, and 12-month follow-up visits, 71% of patients have stable or improved visual acuity and 29% have lost 2 or more lines of visual acuity on the Snellen letter chart.

**Conclusion:** Large–spot size transpupillary thermotherapy is effective in stabilizing the visual acuity in those patients who have occult choroidal neovascularization due to age-related macular degeneration.
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**METHODS**

All patients in this study were initially treated between October 1, 2000, and De-
Sixty-nine patients (18 men [26%] and 51 women [74%]) elected to undergo treatment with TTT. The average age was 78 years (age range, 66-91 years). Review of the fluorescein angiogram showed that all 69 lesions were predominantly occult. Two patients developed a retinal pigment epithelial tear after TTT, but no other complications were noted. The laser spot size was about 6000 µm in 65 patients (94%) and about 3000 µm in 4 patients (6%).

**Table 1** gives the duration of follow-up visits and the retreatment of the original 69 patients. All patients had at least 6 months of follow-up (some patients returned for a 9- or 12-month follow-up visit but had not returned for the 6-month follow-up visit). Each patient had an average of 1.93 treatment sessions in the first year (range, 1-3 treatment sessions). Twenty-one patients (30.4%) had 1 treatment, 32 patients (46.4%) had 2 treatments, and 16 patients (23.2%) had 3 treatments (Table 1).

The mean visual acuity at the initial visit was 20/177, at the 3-month visit it was 20/208, at the 6-month visit it was 20/230, at the 9-month visit it was 20/208, and at the 12-month visit it was 20/229. The visual acuity at each of the follow-up visits was compared with the initial visual acuity. Stable or improved visual acuity was defined as a loss of 1 line on the Snellen letter chart, any improvement in visual acuity, or no change in visual acuity. Worsened visual acuity was defined as a loss of 2 or more lines of visual acuity on the Snellen letter chart. At the 3-month visit 56 (81%) of the 69 patients had stabilized or improved visual acuity. At the 6-, 9-, and 12-month visit 46, 36, and 20 (71%) of the 65, 51, and 28 patients, respectively, had stable or improved visual acuity (Table 2).

**Comment**

Until recently the only options available to patients with AMD and subfoveal CNV were observation or thermal laser treatment of the lesion. Few patients meet the strict guidelines established by the Macular Photocoagulation Study Group for treatment of a subfoveal CNV and those treated often had an immediate decrease in the visual acuity. Photodynamic therapy offers treatment of subfoveal CNV without the immediate loss of central vision induced by the thermal laser. Unfortunately, photodynamic therapy, as it is used, has been found to be beneficial for patients with a predominantly classic CNV or a purely occult CNV. For those patients with a predominantly occult CNV, there have been recent trials that indicate that TTT is effective in stabilizing CNV and stabilizing visual acuity. Our study shows that large-spot size TTT is effective in stabilizing visual acuity in those patients who had an occult CNV due to AMD.

**Table 1. Follow-up and Retreatment of the Original 69 Patients**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Follow-up Visit, mo</th>
<th>Treated</th>
<th>Retreatment†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>69 (100)</td>
<td>31 (45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>65 (94)</td>
<td>18 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>51 (74)</td>
<td>10 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>28 (41)</td>
<td>5 (18)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data are given as the number (percentage) of patients.
†Twenty-one patients had 1 treatment, 32 patients had 2 treatments, and 16 patients had 3 treatments during the follow-up period.

**Table 2. Visual Acuity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visit, mo</th>
<th>No. (%) of Patients</th>
<th>Mean Visual Acuity</th>
<th>Patients With Stable or Improved Visual Acuity*</th>
<th>Patients With Worsened Visual Acuity*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>20/177</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>69 (100)</td>
<td>20/208</td>
<td>56 (81)</td>
<td>13 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>65 (94)</td>
<td>20/230</td>
<td>46 (71)</td>
<td>19 (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>51 (74)</td>
<td>20/208</td>
<td>36 (71)</td>
<td>15 (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>28 (41)</td>
<td>20/229</td>
<td>20 (71)</td>
<td>8 (29)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data are given as number (percentage) of patients.
*Stable or improved visual acuity is defined as a loss of 1 line of visual acuity, stable vision, or an improved visual acuity on the Snellen letter chart. Worsened visual acuity is defined as a loss of 2 or more lines of visual acuity on the Snellen letter chart.
ing the tissue temperatures as much as over 40°C. Photodynamic therapy, on the other hand, creates a photochemical reaction in which a long-pulse, very low-irradiance laser activates a chemical photosensitizer that has been absorbed in the target tissue causing damage to and closure of the CNV. Transpupillary thermotherapy uses a long pulse and large spot size to induce hyperthermia and apoptosis. Transpupillary thermotherapy raises tissue temperatures about 10°C. For very long exposures, heat convection, because of choroidal blood flow, moderates the chorioretinal temperature rise. When used in patients who have AMD, it delivers the light at a threshold or subthreshold level with a barely visible or an invisible lesion in the retina and choroid. It is thought that raising the temperature 5°C will cause heat shock, protein denaturation, and cell death. Melanin in the retinal pigment epithelium and choroid is the primary light absorber in retinal photocoagulation. These factors should help to limit the damage to the neurosensory retina. A histopathologic study done on TTT-treated eyes with a choroidal melanoma showed thrombosis of the tumor vessels. Fluorescein angiography shows hypofluorescence and diminished leakage at 1 and, to a lesser extent, 4 weeks after TTT. This closure of the choroidal vasculature may be caused by endothelial damage due to hyperthermia at the target tissue.

The results from our study show that visual acuity is stabilized in 71% of patients at the 6-, 9- and 12-month follow-up visits (Table 2). Although statistically there was not a significant improvement in visual acuity, neither was there a significant decrease in visual acuity. This compares favorably with the results of other studies on TTT in which 63% to 86% were stable, with variable follow-up, and with the natural history of occult CNV in which only 38% of patients were stable after 9 to 12 months (Table 3). Photodynamic therapy for purely occult CNV did not seem to fare any better than the natural history studies. In patients treated with photodynamic therapy for occult CNV, only 34% of those treated had stable or improved visual acuity at 12 months. In the control group, 23% of those treated had stable or improved visual acuity. Comparing the results of these studies has its limitations as each study had its own inclusion and exclusion criteria, vastly different forms of treatment, variable methods of measuring visual acuity, and different follow-up periods.

The ideal laser settings for TTT have not been determined. The previous studies using TTT for CNV in AMD have used different settings. The spot size varied from 800 to 3000 µm. The power used was 300 to 1000 mW, and the number of spots varied from 1 to an average of 4.25 per treatment session. The goal in all of the studies is to have minimal or no retinal whitening. In our study we used a large spot size of about 6000 µm in most of our patients. The large spot size was used to cover a broad area as the full extent of an occult CNV may not be able to be determined on fluorescein angiography alone. Often an occult CNV will have several points of origin. The patients in our study were treated with 600 to 1000 mW of power. None of the patients developed any clinical evidence of retinal whitening, but the power was adjusted downward only when the patient felt pain or a burning sensation or when the physician or patient heard an audible pop. The audible pop or retinal whitening indicates a suprathreshold treatment level. Of the 133 treatment sessions completed, the power was lowered for 12 patients (9%) because of pain or a burning sensation or an audible pop.

We had 2 patients (3%) who developed a tear in the retinal pigment epithelium after TTT. Thompson has also reported a tear in the retinal pigment epithelium after TTT. Others have reported a complication rate as low as a 0% and as high as 9%. Other complications noted to occur after TTT for a choroidal melanoma include vascular occlusion, macular edema, retinal neovascularization, and optic nerve edema. The increased risk of TTT complications in patients with a choroidal melanoma may be due to the higher-power settings used for these patients.

The use of large-spot size TTT seems to be an effective treatment of occult CNV membranes in AMD. Our results are comparable to the results of other studies using smaller spot sizes. When compared with photodynamic therapy for predominantly occult CNV, TTT seems to have a better success at stabilizing visual acuity, although there have not been any controlled studies with TTT. Other than the results of apparent improved visual acuity, TTT does not require the time and cost of photodynamic therapy and because no medication is needed, there are fewer adverse effects from TTT.

Although our study was prospective, we did not use standardized visual acuity charts (eg, Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study chart) and we used only historical controls. These factors may have induced bias in our analysis. The exact role of TTT in patients with subfoveal CNV in AMD and the laser treatment variables will only be answered after a large randomized, prospective, multicenter treatment trial is conducted.
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